

## **ET NOMs Methodology: Phase 2 Development – Proposed questions for stakeholder consultation**

### **1. Direction requirements**

- a. Do you agree that the proposed NOMs methodology meets the requirements of the Direction?
- b. If not, what areas do you think need more work to meet these requirements?

### **2. Transparency and Objectivity**

- a. Do you agree that the proposed NOMs methodology will enable an independent assessment of an ETO's performance against NOMs targets?
- b. Do you agree that the proposed NOMs methodology will provide sufficient transparency to all relevant stakeholders?
- c. Do you agree that the proposed methodology will provide ETOs with sufficient scope to control their performance against NOMs targets and to ensure that they can innovate to deliver required investments efficiently?

### **3. Asset Health**

- a. Is the proposed approach to calculate probabilities of failure appropriate? If not, what alternative approach would you suggest?
- b.

### **4. Consequence of Failure**

- a. Does the proposed NOMs Methodology take appropriate account of different types and consequences of failure?
- b. Do you agree that the proposed criticality monetisation approach adequately takes account of levels of redundancy (or back up) on the transmission networks?

### **5. Gaps**

In your view are there any material omissions from the proposed methodology that if included would:

- a. help facilitate the achievement of the NOMs Methodology Objectives set out in Part B of Special Condition 2L, or
- b. help enable the objective evaluation of the Network Output Measures set out in Part C of Special Condition 2L.