Appendix 10.1 Desk Study and Legal Context

Contents

Appendix 10.1	1
Desk Study and Legal Context	1
Introduction	1
Relevant Legislation	1
Desk Study	2
Appendix 1 Figures	5 5

Figures

Figure 1: Desk Study Results

Appendix 10.1: Desk Study and Legal Context

Introduction

- 10.1.1 This Appendix provides the findings of a desk study undertaken to inform an Ecological Impact Assessment (EcIA) of the proposed Kendoon to Tongland 132kV (kilovolts) Reinforcement Project ('the KTR Project'). It includes an account of the methods adopted and findings.
- 10.1.2 The Appendix also sets out the legal context that creates the mechanism for designated sites and protected species, which form the basis of the EcIA.
- 10.1.3 This Appendix should read in conjunction with Chapter 10: Ecology of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report.

Relevant Legislation

- 10.1.4 The protections afforded to ecological features in Scotland are enshrined in the following key legislative instruments:
 - The Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Regulations 1994 (as amended);
 - The Wildlife and Countryside Act 1991 (as amended)
 - The Nature Conservation (Scotland) Act 2004; and
 - The Protection of Badgers Act 1992 (as amended)
- 10.1.5 A brief summary of each piece of legislation is provided below, with specific reference to development management.
- 10.1.6 It should be noted that protections offered to ecological features through national or local planning policy are not discussed in this section, nor are protections specifically offered to avian features (which are discussed in Chapter 11: Ornithology of the EIA Report. The protections offered to ecological and avian features and through national and local planning policy are addressed in Chapter 6 : Planning Policy Context

The Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Regulations 1994 (as amended)

10.1.7 The Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Regulations 1994 (as amended) transpose a number of European Union Directives into UK law and provide the highest level of legal protection available to ecological features. The Regulations make provision for the following protected sites and species.

The 'Natura 2000' Network

- 10.1.8 The 'Natura 2000' network extends to a Europe-wide system of sites designated for their ecological value. Sites are either designated as Special Areas of Conservation (SACs), the gualifying features for which are normally internationally important habitats or species assemblages, or Special Protection Areas (SPAs), which qualifying for their assemblages of birds.
- 10.1.9 SPAs and SACs receive considerable protection through the Regulations and these protections are normally reflected in national and local planning policy. Where developments have the potential to affect SPAs or SACs, an assessment process (Habitat Regulations Assessment) must first be undertaken.
- 10.1.10 In order that potential effects on the Natura 2000 network can be fully understood, it is important that they are considered fully in EcIA desk studies.

European Protected Species (EPS)

- 10.1.11The Regulations afford wide-ranging protections to a list of species considered to be of international conservation importance. A species is normally considered important where it plays an important role in wider ecosystems and has historically suffered significant population decline. With regard to EPS, it is an offence to:
 - capture, injure or kill such an animal
 - harass an animal or group of animals;

- disturb an animal while it is occupying structure or place used for shelter or protection;
- disturb an animal while it is rearing or otherwise caring for its young;
- obstruct access to a breeding site or resting place, or otherwise deny an animal use of a breeding • site or resting place;
- disturb an animal in a manner or in circumstances likely to significantly affect the local distribution or abundance of the species;
- disturb an animal in a manner or in circumstances likely to impair its ability to survive, breed or reproduce, or rear or otherwise care for its young;
- disturb an animal while it is migrating or hibernating;
- take or destroy its eggs (in Scotland, this is relevant only to the great crested newt and natterjack toad); and
- disturb any cetacean (dolphin, porpoise or whale).

The Wildlife and Countryside Act 1991 (as amended)

10.1.12The Wildlife and Countryside Act 1991 (as amended) (WCA) is domestic legislation that regulates the management of invasive species and provides protections for species of national conservation importance. Important features of the legislation in relation to protected species are set out below.

Protected Species

10.1.13 Under the WCA, species considered to be of national conservation importance receive legal protections, often very similar to the protections available to EPS. For this reason, it is important that EcIA desk studies identify existing records of WCA protected species.

The Nature Conservation (Scotland) Act 2004

10.1.14 The Nature Conservation (Scotland) Act 2004 (2004 Act) Act details measures designed to conserve biodiversity and to protect and enhance the biological and geological natural heritage of Scotland. The 2004 Act details the arrangements for the establishment and protection of Special Site of Scientific Interest's (SSSI) and replaces much of part II of the WCA. Section 12 of the 2004 Act imposes a duty on the exercise by a public body or office-holder of any function on, or so far as affecting, any land which is or forms part of a SSSI to further the conservation and enhancement of the features specified in the SSSI notification.

Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI)

- 10.1.15The SSSI network in the UK extends to a system of sites designated for their national conservation value. Sites are designated for their biodiversity, habitats or species assemblages. Any development proposals which affect a site designated as a SSSI will require to be considered in terms of the duty under section 12 of the 2004 Act as well as having regard to any policy tests.
- 10.1.16 Subject to certain exceptions, SSSI consent is required for development within a SSSI. SSSI consent will often necessitate extensive mitigation or compensation. For this reason, it is important that EcIA desk studies identify SSSIs that may be affected by proposed development.

The Protection of Badgers Act (1992)

10.1.17Although badgers are not rare in Scotland, they continue to receive protection due to the high levels of persecution they suffer. Badgers and their setts receive protection against killing, disturbance and destruction and, therefore, knowledge of existing records is of importance to EcIA desk studies.

Desk Study

Method

10.1.18The table below lists the features targeted in the desk study, and the resources used to identify them. In order that the study was appropriately focussed, buffers were applied and these are also shown in the table

Table 1: Desk Study Targets

Ecological Feature	Comment	Desk Study Resource	Buffer from site boundary (km)
Statutory Designated Sites	To include: Natura 2000 network sites SSSIs	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH) Site Link Website ¹	5
Non-statutory Designated Sites	 To include: Local Nature Conservation Sites (LNCS) Ancient/Long-established Woodland 	Dumfries and Galloway Local Development Plan (LDP) Ancient Woodland Inventory ²	5
Existing records of deep peat and carbon rich soils		The Carbon and Peatland Map. SNH (2016)	5
Existing Records of EPS and WCA Protected Species	To include all native EPS and WCA protected species	National Biodiversity Network Atlas Scotland ³ Forestry Commission Scotland Data	5

Designated Sites

10.1.19The designated sites within the buffers described above are presented in Figure 1. Due to the linear nature of the KTR Project, several of the designated sites are affected by more than one connection. Each connection's Statutory designation sites within the Study Area are listed in Table 2 below, nonstatutory designations are listed in Table 3.

Polguhanity to Glenlee (via Kendoon) Including Removal of N and R Route (North)

- 10.1.20There are no statutory designated sites within the proposed development footprint, wayleave or wider windthrow areas. The nearest statutory designated sites (<1km) are:
 - Cleugh SSSI c.800m east designated for its lowland neutral grassland.
 - Hannaston Wood SSSI c.900m west designated for its lichen assemblages, upland oak woodland and neutral grassland.
 - Water of Ken Woods SSSI c.500m south-west designated for lichen assemblages and upland oak woodland.
- 10.1.21 With the exception of the Water of Ken SSSI, there is no structural or functional connectivity between the Polguhanity to Glenlee via Kendoon (P-G via K) connection and the statutory designated sites. The Water of Ken SSSI comprises series of units across a wider area of woodland. While the P-G via K connection does not bisect any of the units, it does pass through woodland that provides connectivity between units.
- 10.1.22Three distinct Ancient Woodland Inventory (AWI) sites are located within the wayleave. These are located immediately north of Kendoon substation, at Knocknalling wood and at Hag Wood. It should be noted that while Hag Wood is recorded on the inventory, the designation relates to its seed bank and the site has been planted over with a commercial conifer plantation.
- 10.1.23 Further non-statutory designated sites within 1km include:

- Polmaddy Local Wildife Site (LWS) c.250m west gualifying features unknown.
- 10.1.24 The Polmaddy LWS is upstream of the connection area, but is technically structurally and functionally connected to the P-G via K connection by a tributary of the Water of Ken, which flows through both the LWS and the wayleave.

Carfad to Kendoon

- 10.1.25 There are no statutory designated sites within the proposed development footprint, or wayleave. The nearest statutory designated site (<1km) is:
 - Cleugh SSSI c.600m east designated for its lowland neutral grassland.
- 10.1.26 There is no structural or functional connection between the designation and the connection.
- 10.1.27 One AWI site is located within the wayleave, which is located north of Kendoon substation. The AWI here comprises semi-nature broadleaved woodland as part of a wider riparian woodland corridor
- 10.1.28 Figure 1 shows the spatial arrangement of designated sites as they relate to the Carsfad to Kendoon (C-K) connection

Earlstoun to Glenlee

- 10.1.29There are no statutory designated sites within the proposed development footprint, wayleave or wider windthrow areas. The nearest designated sites (<1km) are:
 - Hannaston Wood SSSI c.900m west designated for its lichen assemblages, upland oak woodland and neutral grassland.
 - Water of Ken Woods SSSI c.700m west and 400m south-east designated for lichen assemblages and upland oak woodland.
- 10.1.30There is no structural or functional connectivity between the Earlstoun to Glenlee (E-G) connection and Hannaston Wood SSSI. The Water of Ken SSSI comprises series of units across a wider area of woodland. While the E-G connection does not bisect any of the units, it does pass through woodland that provides connectivity between units.
- 10.1.31 One AWI site is located within the wayleave, which is located at Hag wood. The AWI here comprises commercial coniferous plantation over, presumably, ancient woodland soils and associated seed bank.
- 10.1.32 Figure 1 shows the spatial arrangement of designated sites as they relate to the E-G connection.

BG Deviation

- 10.1.33 There are no statutory designated or non-statutory designated sites within the proposed development footprint or wayleave. The nearest designated site (<1km) is:
 - Water of Ken Woods SSSI c.700m north-west and 400m south-east designated for lichen assemblages and upland oak woodland.
- 10.1.34 There is no structural or functional connectivity between the SSSI and the BG Deviation.
- 10.1.35One AWI site, Black Bank Wood, is located within the wayleave.
- 10.1.36 Figure 1 shows the spatial arrangement of designated sites as they relate to the BG Deviation.

Glenlee to Tongland Including Removal of R Route (South)

- 10.1.37There are no statutory designated sites within the proposed development footprint, wayleave, or windthrow areas. Excluding sites designated for their ornithological features (refer to Chapter 11 the nearest (<1km) designated site is:
 - Water of Ken Woods SSSI c.700m north-west and 400m south-east designated for lichen assemblages and upland oak woodland.
- 10.1.38The Water of Ken SSSI comprises series of units across a wider area of woodland. While the Glenlee to Tongland (G-T) connection does not bisect any of the units, it does pass through woodland that provides connectivity between units.
- 10.1.39The wayleave supports four AWI features:
 - Black Bank wood a large semi-natural broad-leaved woodland near Glenlee;
 - Knocknairling Burn a narrow strip of mixed riparian woodland on the edge of commercial forestry;

www.snh.gov.uk, accessed in June 2017

https://map.environment.gov.scot/sewebmap/ accessed in June 2017

www.nbnatlas.org. accessed in June 2017

The Kendoon to Tongland 132kV Reinforcement Project

- Ross Hill Forest- mature commercial forestry, likely over ancient woodland soils and associated seed bank, near Mossdale; and
- Kenick Burn a narrow strip of mixed riparian woodland, in the Laurieston forest.
- 10.1.40A Unit of the Water of Ken Woods SSSI crosses the existing R route (south) to the north of New Galloway. There are no further designated sites within the route, but the following is within 1km:
 - Kenmure Holms SSSI -c.700m west designated for beetle and dragonfly assemblages and fen habitat.
- 10.1.41 There is no structural or functional connectivity between the R route (south) and the Kenmure Holms SSSI.
- 10.1.42 Figure 1 shows the spatial arrangement of designated sites as they relate to the G-T Connection and R Route (south).
- 10.1.43 Further non-statutory designated sites within 5km include:
 - Culcaigrie Loch (LWS) c.3.75km west gualifying features unknown. Culcaigrie Loch is a 3.2 hectare water body located approximately 2km from Ringford. There are no listings for the qualifying features of this site.

Table 2: Statutory Designated Sites and Associated Connections

Designation	Site Name	Features listed	Connection(s) associated with designation
SSSI	Cleugh	Lowland Neutral Grassland	P-G via K, including Removal of N and R Routes (N and R Removal) (north) (E-G
			С-К
SSSI	Water of Ken	Lichen Assemblage	P-G via K
	vvoods	Upland Oak woodland	E-G
			C-K
			BG Deviation
			G-T including removal of R Route (south)
SSSI	Hannaston Wood	Lowland Neutral Grassland	P-G via K, including N and R Removal (north)
		Lichen Assemblage	С-К
		Upland Oak Woodland	E-G
			BG Deviation
			G-T including R Removal (south)
SSSI	Kenmure Holms	Beetles Dragonfly assemblage Fen meadow	P-G via K, including N and R Removal (north) E-G BG Deviation
			G-T including N and R Removal (south)
SSSI	River Dee (Parton – Crossmichael)	Dragonfly assemblage Greenland white-fronted Goose (Anser albifons flavirostris), non-breeding	G-T including R Removal(south)
		Greylag goose (Anser anser), non- breeding	
		Lowland Acid Grassland	
		Open Water Transition Fen	
		Whooper Swan (Cygnus cygnus), non- breeding	
SSSI	Airds of Kells Wood	Upland Mixed Ash Woodland	G-T including R Removal (south)
		Upland Oak Woodland	

Designation	Site Name	Features listed	Connection(s) associated with designation
SSSI	Woodhall Loch	Beetles	G-T including R Removal (south)
		Open Water Transition Fen	
		Caddisfly (Phacopteryx brevipennis)	
		Fen Meadow	
		Oligotrophic Loch	

Table 3: Non-Statutory Designations and Associated Connections

Site Name	Source	Features listed	Connection(s) associated with designation
Polmaddy Local Wildlife Site	Dumfries and Galloway LDP	None listed	P-G via K including N and R Removal (north) C-K
Culcaigrie Loch Local Wildlife Site	Dumfries and Galloway LDP	None listed	G-T including R Removal (south)

Deep Peat and carbon rich Soils

10.1.44The desk study identified areas of Class 1 and 2 peat within the Study Area, suggesting the presence of nationally important peat deposits and priority habitat. However, the majority of the land across all five connections (including the removal of two existing lines) is underlain with non-peatland soils. The majority of ap the substrates are found to be of mineral soil and therefore not of conservation value. Where areas of Class 1 or 2 designation overlapped with the Phase 1 habitat surveys, consideration was given to the true ecological value of their habitats. Detailed habitat survey results are presented in Appendix 10.2: Phase 1 Habitat and NVC Survey and full details of the peat across the Study Area are provided in Chapter 9: Geology, Hydrology, Hydrogeology, Water Resources and Peat.

Existing Species Data

10.1.45A search of species records (post year 2000) revealed several EPS and species listed under the WCA to be present within 5km of the KTR Project. Records for the following species were identified:

- Great Crested Newt;
- Otter: •
- Pine marten;
- Red squirrel;
- Bat species including: Pipistrelle spp., Brown long eared, Leisler, Noctule, Daubentons, Whiskered, Unspecified species;
- Water Vole: and
- Badger.

10.1.46 With regards to pine marten and red squirrel data, LUC consulted with (then) Forestry Commission Scotland (FCS) and (then) Forest Enterprise Scotland (FES⁴) who had been conducting species studies within the Galloway Forest Park and surrounding area. FCS and FES provided geo referenced data on:

- species sightings;
- drey/den locations; and
- confirmed presence from trapping data.
- 10.1.47 the connections where targeted surveys should be conducted, while not compromising the ongoing work

This data was used in part to help inform both the desk study as well as identify locations across

being conducted by FCS/FES at that time. Full details of this are provided in Appendix 10.3: Protected Species Survey.

Appendix 10.1: Desk Study and Legal Context

Figures

Appendix 10.1: Desk Study and Legal Context



@ Crown copyright and database rights 2020 Ordnance Survey 0100031673 $% \end{tabular}$

CB:JN EB:nunn_j LUCGLA FIG1_6114-002_KTR_EcologyDesignations_75k_SmallSymbols_04/08/2020

KTR Project EIA Report





 $\textcircled{\mbox{\sc c}}$ Crown copyright and database rights 2020 Ordnance Survey 0100031673

CB:JN EB:nunn_j LUCGLA FIG1_6114-002_KTR_EcologyDesignations_75k_SmallSymbols_04/08/2020







@ Crown copyright and database rights 2020 Ordnance Survey 0100031673 $% \end{tabular}$

CB:JN EB:nunn_j LUCGLA FIG1_6114-002_KTR_EcologyDesignations_75k_SmallSymbols_04/08/2020

EIA Report Technical Appendix 10.1: Desk Study and Legal Context Figure 1.3: Biodiversity Sites **Overhead line infrastructure** Glenlee to Tongland (steel lattice tower) Existing tower for removal Construction compound Potential quarry working area **Designated sites** 5km – statutory and non-statutory designated sites Special Protection Area (SPA) - Loch Ken and River Dee Marshes Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) Ramsar Site (R) - Loch Ken and River Dee Marshes Ancient Woodland Inventory (AWI) Non-statutory sites Local Wildlife Site Priority peatland habitats Class 1 Class 2 3 Moniaive 0 GALLOWAY MFRIES AND John's Town of Dalm O 0 Dumfri ord O Crocket 2 stle 0 Iglas ar O Dalbe Gat ofF et o own 0

KTR Project

Constant Con Appendix 10.2 Phase 1 Habitat and NVC Survey

Contents

Appendix 10.2	1
Phase 1 Habitat and NVC Survey	1
Introduction	1
Scope of Survey	1
Survey Method	1
Baseline	2
Polquhanity to Glenlee (via Kendoon) Including Removal of N and R (North) Routes	3
Carsfad-Kendoon	5
Earlstoun to Glenlee	6
BG Deviation	7
Glenlee to Tongland Including Removal of R Route (South)	8
Annex 1	11
Figures	11
Annex 2	12
Site Photography	12
Annex 3	16
Target Notes	16

Figures

Figure 1: Study Area

Figure 2: Phase 1 Habitats

Figure 3: Groundwater dependent terrestrial ecosystems (GWTE) and National Vegetation Classification (NVC) Survey Results

Appendix 10.2: Phase 1 Habitat and NVC Survey

Introduction

- 10.2.1 This Appendix relates to the Phase 1 Habitat Survey and associated National Vegetation Classification (NVC) surveys undertaken to inform an Ecological Impact Assessment (EcIA) of the proposed Kendoon to Tongland 132 kilovolt (kV) Reinforcement Project (hereafter referred to as 'the KTR Project'). It includes an account of the methods adopted, baseline findings and an interpretation of results.
- 10.2.2 For the purposes of the EIA, the KTR Study Area encompasses five new overhead line (OHL) connections and the associated removal of the existing N and R routes. Reference should be made to Figure 1, and this Appendix should be read in conjunction with Chapter 10: Ecology of the EIA Report.

Scope of Survey

- 10.2.3 LUC was appointed by SP Energy Networks (SPEN) to complete a suite of ecological surveys, including habitat surveys, to inform an EcIA of the KTR project.
- 10.2.4 Habitat surveys extended to a Phase 1 Habitat Survey of pre-defined Study Areas (detailed in Table 1 below). Where further botanical or vegetation information was required, for example to identify habitats of conservation concern or Groundwater Dependent Terrestrial Ecosystems (GWDTE), NVC methods were adopted.
- 10.2.5 The surveys aimed to ensure sufficient botanical and vegetation data was available to support an assessment of potential effects of the KTR Project on ecological features.

Survey Method

Phase 1 Habitat Survey

- 10.2.6 A Phase 1 Habitat survey was undertaken during the accepted ecological survey seasons of 2017 and 2019. Phase 1 surveys can be conducted at any time of year; however, the optimal period is between April - September. NVC surveys should be completed within the months of May- August with the optimum time being June-July. The survey followed current best practice methodsⁱ and included the rapid classification of all broad habitat types within defined Study Areas.
- 10.2.7 The Study Areas adopted for each of the five connections are set out in Table 1 below. The Study Areas vary depending on the type of connection (steel lattice tower or wood pole) and the subsequent wayleave required for future maintenance of the OHLs. The Study Areas also included a 50m buffer on proposed wayleaves, which incorporates all necessary new access track requirements. The Study Area for N and R routes, which are to be removed, was reduced to 100m to reflect the likely reduced impact of these parts of the KTR Project, and the fact that the infrastructure already exists.
- 10.2.8 Table 1 below and Figure 1 provide an overview of the Study Area for each connection.

Table 1: Study Area Definition

Working Area	Study Area
Polquhanity toGlenlee via Kendoon (P-G via K) including the removal of N and R route (north) ^{*1}	180m corridor *1 – 100m where the existing N and R OHs are located
Carsfad toKendoon (C-K)	170m corridor
Earlstoun toGlenlee (E-G)	170m corridor
BG Deviation	180m corridor
Glenlee to Tongland (G-T) including the removal of R route (south)	180m corridor *1 – 100m where the existing N and R OHLs are located
Ancillary Infrastructure	The site footprint and a 50m buffer

- 10.2.9 During surveys, all broad habitat types were identified and defined. Sufficient botanical species identification was undertaken to confirm and verify habitat classification. Field surveys mapped habitat boundaries on GIS-referenced field tablets for accuracy and collected representative photographs and species lists. A wide range of identification keys were used to ensure species identification was accurate and robust.
- 10.2.10 Where necessary, target notes were used to identify key areas of interest or to describe typical habitats.
- 10.2.11 Where habitats were ill-defined, surveyors collected sufficient botanical data to allow 'mosaic' classifications to be made. Mosaics represent a combination of different broad habitat types, for example scattered scrub over improved grassland. Mosaic classifications are often important in heavily modified habitats where definition is much less obvious. For the purposes of classification, a mosaic is considered to be a broadly homogenous land parcel where no one habitat classification accounts for more than 90% of the habitat type.

GWDTE and NVC Surveys

- 10.2.12Where Phase 1 habitat types had potential to support investigation was undertaken. Phase 1 habitat types include:
 - B5 Marshy Grassland;
 - D1 D6 Heathland
 - E1 D4 Bog; and
 - F1 F2 Swamp.
- 10.2.13 Where appropriate, within habitats coded as above, the NVC methodⁱⁱⁱ was used to identify potential GWDTE communities. However, to avoid unnecessary extensive botanical study, where Phase 1 habitat types were obviously attributable to surface water movement, rather than groundwater movement, no NVC was completed. This included stands of marshy grassland in hollows on steep slopes, obviously ombrogenous bogs etc.
- 10.2.14 However, where water influence was less clear, NVC was completed. As above, NVC data was also considered in light of wider influencing factors. Upon determining the NVC community, a decision tool was used to establish the level of dependency of each community on groundwater. Table 2 below shows the decision-making tool used in determining GWDTE presence.

Table 2: GWDTE Decision Tool. Determining the Level of Ground Water Dependency

Criteria

A. Is the GWDTE vegetation evidently influenced by groundw

(i.e. base-enriched (M10, M11, M37 and/or M38) and/or disc source such as a spring head (M31, M32, M33).

If the answer to A is 'Yes' then field assessment ends at this quidance. If 'No', continue to B.

B. Is the GWDTE polygon associated with an evident surface of the following topographic locations:

Watershed/ridge

W	a	ter	°CC)ur	se

Floodplain

Ponding location, pond, loch, etc (localised depression)

Surface water conveyance (drain, gully, rill, etc.)

If the answer to B is 'Yes' then the GWDTE polygon is no more floristic and environmental data should be collected, includin determination of the groundwater dependency. If 'No', contin

C. Is the GWDTE polygon associated with an ombrogenous sy especially relevant to M6 and M25:

Presence/persistence of distinctive bog habitat, species and/o

Deep peat not confined to depressions/valleys (>0.5 m visib

GWDTE vegetation communities ⁱⁱ , further
hat have potential to support GWDTE communities

	Yes	No		
vater?				
harging from an evident point				
stage and the GWDTE is treated as `	'high', as pe	er the		
e water feature? i.e. is the vegetation	n located w	ithin one		
e than 'moderate' and very likely to be 'low'. Additional photographs to allow for further, desk-based use to C.				
ystem? i.e. with blanket bog or wet	heath habit	at. This is		
or associations.				
e in drains or hagged areas).				

Criteria

If the answer to C is 'Yes' then the GWDTE is no more than 'moderate' and very likely to be 'low'. Additional floristic and environmental data should be collected, including photographs to allow for further, desk-based determination of the groundwater dependency.

Constraints and Limitations

- 10.2.15All ecological surveys represent a snap-shot in time. Habitats and species assemblages are dynamic and change over time in response to a range of variables. Data presented in this report should not be considered a long-term interpretation of ecological data and should not be relied upon as such.
- 10.2.16 While weather conditions were, in general, optimal, occasional rain, flooding, and snow showers may have resulted in the loss of evidence of indicator species. While this limitation is recognised, it is not considered to undermine the value of the data collected which is considered sufficiently robust for the purposes of informing the EcIA.
- 10.2.17 While care has been taken to collect and review habitat data, it is not possible to account for any changes that may occur from the period of data collection to the time of works commencing.
- 10.2.18At the time of field surveys the peat depth was unknown and, as such, there may be areas where superficially similar communities have been recorded erroneously due to their relationship with peat depth.

Baseline

Approach and Overview

Approach

- 10.2.19For ease of reference, habitats and vegetation communities are described for each Study Area of the five individual connections comprising the KTR Project
- 10.2.200 wing to the highly modified nature of the Study Areas, extensive areas of mosaic and/or ill-defined habitats were identified. In order that data can be considered and interpreted as accurately as possible, all habitat area calculations are based on 'amalgamated' Phase 1 Habitat types. The amalgamated approach sees broadly similar habitat types grouped together. Table 3 details how habitats have been amalgamated.

Table 3: Amalgamated Phase 1 Habitat Categories

Broad Habitat Type	Amalgamated Phase 1 Habitats		
Grassland	Acid grassland (unimproved/ semi-improved) (B1.1/B1.2)		
	Neutral grassland (unimproved and semi-improved) (B2.1/ B2.2)		
	Improved and poor semi-improved grassland (B4)		
	Marsh/Marshy grassland (B5)		
	Poor semi-improved Grassland (B6)		
Heathland	Dry dwarf shrub heath (D1)		
	• Wet dwarf shrub heath (D2)		
	Dry heath/acid grassland (D5)		
	Wet heath/acid grassland (D6)		
Mire	Fen -basin mire (E3.2)		
	• Fen- flood plain mire (E3.3)		
	Acid flush (E2.1)		
	• Wet modified bog (E1.7)		
	Dry modified bog (E1.8)		
Miscellaneous	Arable (J1.1)		
	Ornamental planting (J5)		

Broad Habitat Type	Amalgamated Phase 1 Habi		
	•	Hard standing (J5)	
	•	Buildings (J3.6)	
	•	Amenity grassland (J1.2)	
	•	Bare ground (J4)	
	•	Other habitat (J5)	
	•	Survey not required (J5)	
Open water	•	Standing water (G1)	
	•	Running water (G2)	
Rock exposure	•	Acid/neutral rock (I1.4.1)	
	•	Basic rock (I1.4.2)	
	•	Quarry (I2.1)	
Swamp, marginal	•	Swamp (F1)	
Inundation	•	Marginal and Inundation v	
Tall herb and fern	•	Bracken Continuous/ scatt	
	•	Tall ruderal (C3.1)	
	•	Non-ruderal (C3.2)	
Woodland and scrub	•	Broadleaved woodland nat scattered (A3.1)	
	•	Coniferous woodland (natuscattered(A3.2))	
	•	Mixed woodland (natural ((A3.3)	
	•	Scrub (A2) (scattered (A2)	
	•	Recently felled (A4)	

10.2.21 Where mosaics are identified, calculations are based on the dominant broad habitat type.

- 10.2.221n the following habitat descriptions, data is presented for each Connection of the KTR Project and its associated Study Area. Ancillary infrastructure is discussed separately for each Study Area Connection as detailed at the end of each Study Area summary.
- 10.2.23Full details on ancillary infrastructure can be found in Chapter 5: Felling, Construction, Operational Maintenance and Decommissioning of the EIA Report.

Overview

- 10.2.24 During the routeing process, care was taken, wherever possible, to avoid habitats of particular conservation concern. Consequently, many of the routes are located in heavily modified or artificial habitats with limited ecological importance.
- 10.2.251n many of the Study Areas, two broad habitat types are overwhelmingly dominant: B classification agricultural grassland (in vary states of management and modification); and A classification plantation coniferous woodland. In some areas, remnant heath and bog habitats were identified, predominantly in open areas in the forest estate. These habitats were also heavily modified or isolated.
- 10.2.26 When considering the habitat descriptions below, reference should be made to the Phase 1 Habitat Map, provided in Figure 2, the GWDTE (NVC) Map provided in Figure 3, site photography provided in Annex 2 and target notes presented in Annex 3.

regetation (F2.2) tered (C1.1/C1.2)

tural (A1), plantation (A1.1.2), semi-natural (A1.1.1),

ural (A1), plantation (A1.2.2), semi-natural (A1.2.1),

(A1), plantation (A1.3.2), semi-natural (A1.3.1), scattered

.2), dense/continuous(A2.1))

Polguhanity to Glenlee (via Kendoon) Including Removal of N and R (North) Routes

Study Area Overview

P-G via K

- 10.2.27The P-G via K Study Area accounts for 15.81% of all habitats within the KTR Project Study Area.
- 10.2.28The north of the Study Area primarily comprised operational commercial coniferous forest plantation, dominated by sitka spruce. Most of these areas are operational with some coups recently felled. Open ground in the north of the Study Area is dominated by improved grassland and species-poor marshy grassland. Existing access tracks though the forestry are composed of marshy grassland species, predominantly purple moor grass Molinia caerulea. These areas are regenerating over the highly disturbed ground within plantation woodland.
- 10.2.29 Within the dominant semi-improved neutral grassland mosaics of this Study Area, smaller patches of marshy grassland, typically associations of soft rush with neutral grassland species, are common and widespread. Grassland habitats are also often integrated with smaller non-distinct areas of habitat such as remnants of heath/acid grass or remnants of bog habitat in historically less grazed areas. These habitats are so heavily influenced by agricultural and forestry operations in the area that they are almost indistinct and difficult to recognise within the wider context of the overarching grassland mosaic.
- 10.2.30 Scattered scrub is also commonly in mosaic with agricultural grassland. Species are general mixes of gorse Ulex uropaeus, hawthorn Crataegus monogyna and small broadleaved trees. Within lower lying ground and in areas of marshier grasslands the scrub takes the form of willow Salix spp. and young sapling trees.
- 10.2.31 Within the eastern section of the Study Area, adjacent to Carsfad Loch, the grassland habitats become dominated by bracken and other stands of tall vegetation such as rosebay willowherb Epilobium angustifolium and stinging nettles Urtica dioica.
- 10.2.32The southern part of the Study Area, from Carsfad to Glenlee comprises a mosaic of typical lowland agricultural habitats. Improved grassland, semi-improved neutral grassland and species-poor marshy grassland were identified. Extensive areas of bracken were recorded along with a small coniferous woodland plantation, Hag Wood. Hag Wood is a conifer plantation on ancient woodlands (PAWS).
- 10.2.33Deciduous and mixed woodland were rare, however the Study Area included a small part of the Knocknalling Wood, between Towers 19 and 20. Riparian woodland associated within the Water of Ken was also recorded in this area. All other wooded habitats are associated with watercourses or visual screening provision around substations.
- 10.2.34Invasive non-native species (INNS) was recorded on occasion across the route. Rhododendron was recorded within the following locations: the understory of the woodlands of Hagwood and Knocknalling, around the substations of Kendoon, Carsfad, Earlstoun and Glenlee. Refer to target note descriptions within Annex 3.

N Route

10.2.35The existing N route runs in parallel to the P-G via K Study Area for much of its length between Polguhanity and Kendoon. However, it is located below the forestry line and dominant habitat types tend to be marshy grassland which is dominated by Molinia caerulea and fed from drainage channels and small burns flowing downslope from the wooded areas of the west. Extensive areas of bracken are common along this route and, most notably, a small area of wet modified bog is located near Dundeugh. The modified bog is of poor quality with little sphagnum moss layer, it is included as modified bog as although both marshy grassland and wet modified bog can share similar species, the composition of the species present (e.g. bog asphodel Narthecium ossifragum and bog myrtle Myrica gale) distinguished it from the surrounding marshy grassland.

R (North) Route

10.2.36The R (north) route in this area extends from Kendoon substation to Glenlee substation. It runs in parallel to the P-G via K Study Area for much of its length and, consequently, its habitat composition is broadly similar to that described for the southern section of P-G via K.

10.2.37 Detailed habitat descriptions are provided below, according to their Phase 1 Habitat nomenclature. Table 4 provides a brief summary of the habitat composition of the P-G via K Study Area, including N Route and R (north) Route Study Area.

Detailed Habitat Descriptions

A1 Woodland

10.2.38As described above, woodland cover across the route predominantly consisted of coniferous plantation woodland. Sitka Spruce was the most frequently recorded plantation woodland and large swathes of this type of plantation had been felled recently. Broadleaved woodland consisted of a mixture of native and non-native tree species including: oak Quercus robur and beech Fagus sylvatica, birch species Betula spp., hawthorn Crataegus monogyna, alder Alnus glutinosa, and sycamore Acer pseudoplatanus. The understory of the coniferous woodland plantation was in most cases almost entirely devoid of higher plants. Most woodland had a well-developed bryophyte layer typically of Hypnaceae and Polytrichaceae families common in the moist woodlands of south Scotland.

A2 Scrub- dense/Continuous

10.2.39 Scrub is vegetation dominated by native shrubs, usually smaller than 5m in height. It was recorded across the Study Area and usually in mosaic within other habitats, as an understory within woodlands or as the shrub layer within grasslands, but most commonly forming edge habitats. Dominant scrub species were hawthorn, birch and gorse.

B1-5 Grassland and marsh

- 10.2.40 This category is large and diffuse and found throughout the entire P-G via K Study Area. The majority of the grass and marsh habitats are common and widespread. In most cases the boundaries between the different grassland types are fairly indistinct and often overlapping, disappearing and reappearing within a discrete area. Improved grasslands are areas of grass-dominated habitat which have been so heavily influenced by agriculture, drainage, or other applications that the species present are limited to a few productive grasses e.g. Ryegrass Lolium perenne and Crested Dog's-tail Cynosurus cristatus.species. Ranunculus species and Rumex species were abundant throughout the area.
- 10.2.41 Marshy grassland is a diverse set of habitats including those dominated by rushes, sedges, and tall herbs where water is close to the surface but not obviously visible like in swamp habitat. Marshy grassland dominated the length of the Study Area. Typical marsh species were noted with purple moorgrass Molinia caerulea and Juncus spp. being dominant. The marshy grassland is fed from the sloping topography of the site and via the many watercourses and small burns which run throughout. In general, there were few areas of marsh; rather it was marshy grass over agricultural fields. This was noticeable from the surrounding character of the Study Area being predominantly agricultural land and from the high presence of improved forb species e.g. Rumex spp., Trifolium repens, Cirsium spp., Ranunculus spp. etc. scattered within the monocotelydon stands.

B2.2 Semi-improved Neutral Grassland

10.2.42 Neutral grassland covers a wide range of communities typically comprising enclosed areas with an element of management occurring, or having occurred in the recent past. The field immediately behind the existing substation consists of semi-improved neutral grassland. The dominant species being tufted hair-grass Deschampsia cespitosa, abundant false oat-grass Arrhenatherum elatius, and frequent Cock'sfoot grass Dactylis glomerata.

C1-3 Tall Herb and fern

- 10.2.43 Bracken Pteridium aquilinum, was found to be common and widespread across the route. In some of the sloping topography, bracken was dense and dominated the landscape. Similar dense swards of bracken were noted in areas of higher ground where grazing pressure would be minimal.
- 10.2.44 Tall ruderal vegetation consists of stands of tall perennial or biennial dicotyledons. Rosebay-Willowherb Epilobium angustifolium and common nettle Urtica dioica, along with other ephermeral vegetation were present minimally across the Study Area but were common in unmanaged areas and around buildings.
- 10.2.45 Non-ruderal vegetation was present in small amounts in areas of woodland, riparian edge habitats. Particularly obvious at the edge of the Glenlee Hydro Power Station where there was an area dominated by great wood-rush Luzula sylvatica.

D1, 2, 5, 6 Heathland

10.2.46 Heathland habitat was recorded minimally across the Study Area and was never recorded as a primary habitat. The areas of forestry rides and fire breaks in the north of the Study Area provide the location of a mosaic containing D6 - wet heath/acid grassland. These areas are in mosaic with marshy grasslands B5 as the species recorded were characteristic of both habitat types.

E1.7 - E1.8 Mire

- 10.2.47E1.7 Wet & E1.8 dry modified bog habitat comprises of bog vegetation with little or no sphagnum. Presence of Ericoids may be abundant, sparse or absent. Wet modified bog was recorded in the N route Study Area as described above and in smaller patches throughout the route on more or less flat ground.
- 10.2.48Dry modified bog is bog was rarely recorded within the Study Area. Typically species composition closely resembled that of the Wet Modified Bog where purple moor-grass was dominant. Within the dry modified bog habitat classification however bog-mosses were notably absent.

F1 Swamp

10.2.49 Swamp habitat was recorded in a small number of locations within the 50m buffer of Glenlee Substation. Swamp vegetation is characterised by tall emergent vegetation which is typically standing in water for the majority of the year. Typha, Pharagmites australis and Carex spp. were recorded to the north east of the site. The western area of swamp was characterised by the high water table and the dominant vegetation was flag iris pseudacorus.

G1 - 2 Standing and Running Water

10.2.50 Running water is present in the form of a number of burns which run transversely through the Study Area and the river tributaries of the Water of Ken, along with the main water courses, wet ditches/small streams are scattered throughout the route. A number of different riparian habitats are associated with the water courses and small areas of standing water were recorded in the north of the Study Area.

12 Quarries

10.2.51 Areas of former guarries were recorded across the Study Area, these were disused and remnants of exposed rock were noted with pioneer species such as self-heal Prunella vulgaris, toad rush Juncus bufonius etc. encroaching into the guarry areas.

J5 Other Habitat

- 10.2.52A large percentage of the land within the site and its buffer consisted of other habitat. This included areas of hard-standing, Substation compound/ buildings, car parks and private domestic dwellings/gardens.
- 10.2.53 Table 4 sets out the total area of each habitat within the Study Area, along with their relative proportion of the Study Area.

Table 4: Amalgamated Habitat types and proportion of the Study Area of P-G via K Including Removal of N and Part of R Routes

Phase 1 Habitat type	Amalgamated Phase 1 Habitats	Area within Study Area (Ha)	Proportion of Study Area (%)
Grassland	 Acid grassland semi-improved (B1.2) 	122.61	47.92
	 Neutral grassland semi-improved (B2.2) 		
	Improved grassland (B4)		
	Marsh/Marshy grassland (B5)		
	 Poor semi-improved grassland (B6) 		
Heathland	Wet heath/acid grassland (D6)	0.79	0.31
Mire	Wet modified bog (E1.7)	3.86	1.51
	Dry modified bog (E1.8)		
Miscellaneous	Ornamental planting (J5)	10.14	3.96

Phase 1 Habitat type	Amalgamated Phase 1 Habitats	Area within Study Area (Ha)	Proportion of Study Area (%)
	Hard standing (J5)		
	• Buildings (J3.6)		
	Amenity grassland (J1.2)		
	• Bare ground (J4)		
	Survey not required (J5)		
Open water	Standing water (G1)	3.77	1.47
	Running water (G2)		
Rock exposure	Quarry (I2.1)	0.11	0.04
Swamp, marginal inundation	Marginal and inundation vegetation (F2.2)	0.07	0.03
Tall herb and fern	Bracken continuous (C1.1), scattered (C1.2)	47.71	18.65
	Tall ruderal (C3.1)		
	Non-ruderal (C3.2)		
Woodland and scrub	Broadleaved woodland semi- natural (A1.1.1), scattered (A3.1)	66.81	26.11
	Coniferous woodland semi-natural (A1.2.1), plantation (A1.2.2), scattered (A3.2)		
	 Mixed woodland plantation (A1.3.2), semi-natural (A1.3.1), scattered (A3.3) 		
	Scrub (A2) scattered (A2.2), dense/continuous (A2.1)		
	Recently felled (A4)		
Total		255.87	100

GWDTE

- 10.2.54 Ostensibly, the Study Area's many areas of marshy grassland suggest some level of groundwater dependency; however visual observations noted that topographical factors were key in influencing these vegetation communities.
- 10.2.55For example, within the Study Area, marshy grassland predominantly sits below steep slopes or has formed in hollows or shallower slopes on otherwise steep ground. The habitat type is also common in areas where surface water collects and flows towards the Water of Deugh, or Carsfad or Earlston Loch. Commonly, marshy grassland is located on the margins of small watercourses and more closely associated with running water than groundwater. Occasionally marshy grassland communities sit in areas of very deep peat, on the margins of ombrogenous bog habitats. While many of these marshy grasslands can be categorised as NVC MG10, and therefore potentially of low - moderate groundwater dependence, the majority of marshy grassland within the Study Area is not considered to be groundwater dependent.
- 10.2.56 However, three areas of marshy grassland that accord with NVC M23 Juncus effusus/acutiflorus Galium palustre rush-pasture, a mire community, were identified in the north of the Study Area, near towers 1 and 2 (refer to Figure 3). According to best practiceⁱⁱ, M23 is considered to be potentially highly groundwater dependent.
- 10.2.57 These communities were much more species diverse than other marshy grasslands in the Study Area and included small Sphagnum fallax hummocks, tormentil and heath bedstraw. Although a small number of watercourses were identified within these vegetation communities, their reliance on groundwater could not be ruled out, however it is considered that their potential groundwater dependency is no greater than moderate.

Ancillary Infrastructure

10.2.58P-G via K has a total of three Ancillary Infrastructure areas; Barlae Hill Quarry, and two construction compounds. Further detail is provided in Table 5.

Table 5: Additional Working Areas associated with P - G via K Including Removal of N and R Routes (north)

Additional Working Area	Description: habitat and species assessment
Barlae Hill Quarry (Q1)	The area is dominated by coniferous woodland plantation;
	A small area within the buffer is recorded as clear fell;
	The habitat showed signs of red squirrel.
Construction compound 1: Site Access reference 2	 The area is composed of marshy grassland and coniferous woodland plantation; There is a pond small pond here.
Construction compound 2: Site Access reference 18	There are remnants of an old quarry site here which has become overgrown with grass and scrub species.

Carsfad-Kendoon

Study Area Overview

- 10.2.59C-K Study Area accounts for 3.04% of the total habitat associated with the KTR Project. The proposed C-K wood pole Study Area is located parallel to a short section of the P-G via K Study Area, largely within the footprint of the existing R (north) Study Area (proposed for removal). Consequently, the Study Areas for C-K and P-G via K overlap.
- 10.2.60The habitats at the north and south of this Study Area that surround the two substations, Kendoon and Carsfad, are broadly woodland areas with a number of buildings and miscellaneous habitats. The area between the two substations is primarily agricultural land with around two thirds of the area being composed of grassland and tall herb and fern, the latter being predominantly continuous bracken.
- 10.2.61 The A713 is a dominant feature in the landscape of this Study Area and runs almost entirely along the length of the Study Area. Continuous bracken dominates the area east of the road and semi-improved grasslands to the west. Within the semi improved grasslands are areas, of scattered scrub. This ranges from solely or a mixture of: Gorse Ulex uropaeus, Broom Cytisus scoparius, hawthorn Crataegus monogyna and small broadleaved trees.

Detailed Habitat Descriptions

A1 Woodland

- 10.2.62 Woodland has a canopy cover greater than 30% of trees more than 5m high when mature. Semi-natural woodland includes areas that do not obviously originate from planting. Mixed woodlands usually comprise a number of native and non-native species planted in either a formal or naturalistic way.
- 10.2.63 There are several areas recorded as semi-natural broadleaved or mixed woodland. These areas are found surrounding the substations of Carfad and Kendoon. These areas are predominantly of birch Betula spp with scattered spruce acting as wind breaks or providing screening. The understory of these areas of woodland consisted of maintained grassland and a layer scrub/shrub layer in localised areas of rhododendron.

A2 Scrub- dense/Continuous

10.2.64 Scrub is vegetation dominated by native shrubs, usually smaller than 5m in height. It was recorded across the Study Area and usually in mosaic within other habitats as an understory within woodlands or as a shrub layer within grasslands, but most commonly forming edge habitats. Scrub species varied by location but commonly Willow spp. and hawthorn Crataegus monogyna was recorded.

B1-5 Grassland and marsh

- 10.2.65 This category is large and diffuse and found throughout the entire C-K Study Area. The majority of the grass and marsh habitats are common and widespread. In most cases the boundaries between the different grass types are fairly indistinct and often overlapping, disappearing and reappearing within a discrete area. Improved grasslands are areas of grass-dominated habitat which have been so heavily influenced by agriculture, drainage, or other applications that the species present is limited to a few productive grasses e.g. ryegrass Lolium perenne and crested dog's-tail Cynosurus cristatus species. Ranunculus species and Rumex species were abundant throughout the area.
- 10.2.66 Marshy grassland is a diverse set of habitats including those dominated by rushes, sedges, and tall herbs where water is close the surface but not obviously visible like in swamp habitat.
- 10.2.67 Marshy grassland dominated the length of the Study Area. Typical marsh species were noted and purple moor-grass Molinia caerulea and Juncus spp. were dominant. The marshy grassland is fed from the sloping topography of the site and via the many water courses and small burns which run throughout. In general, there were few areas of marsh; rather it was marshy grass over agricultural fields. This was noticeable from the surrounding character of the Study Area being predominantly agricultural land and from the high presence of improved forb species e.g. Rumex spp., Trifolium repens, Cirsium spp., Ranunculus spp. etc. scattered within the monocotelydon stands.

C1-3 Tall Herb and fern

- 10.2.68 Bracken Pteridium aguilinum, was found to be common and widespread across the route. In some of the sloping topography, Bracken was dense and dominated the landscape. Similar dense swards of bracken were noted in areas of higher ground where grazing pressure would be lower.
- 10.2.69Tall ruderal vegetation consists of stands of tall perennial or biennial dicotyledons. Rosebay-Willowherb Epilobium angustifolium and common nettle Urtica dioica, along with other ephermeral vegetation were present minimally across the Study Area but were common in unmanaged areas and around buildings.

F2.2 Inundation Vegetation

10.2.70A small island of Typha and Phragmities was observed in the Water of Ken, adjacent to Kendoon Substation.

G1- 2 Standing and Running water

10.2.71 Running water is present in the form of a number of burns which run transversely through the Study Area and then river tributaries of the Water of Ken. Along with the main water courses, wet ditches/small streams are scattered throughout the route. A number of different riparian habitats are associated with the water courses and small areas of standing water were recorded in the north of the Study Area.

J5 Other Habitat

- 10.2.72A I proportion of the land within the Study Area and its buffer consisted of other habitat. This included areas of hard-standing, Substation compound/ buildings, car parks and private domestic dwellings/gardens.
- 10.2.73 Table 6 below sets out the total area of each habitat within the Study Area, along with their relative proportion of the Study Area.

Table 6: Amalgamated Habitat types and proportion of the Study Area of C - K Route:

Phase 1 Habitat type	Amalgamated Phase 1 Habitats	Area within Study Area (Ha)	Proportion of Study Area (%)
Grassland	 Neutral grassland semi-improved (B2.2) 	16.50	33.54
	Improved grassland (B4)		
	Marsh/Marshy grassland (B5)		
Miscellaneous	Hard standing (J5)	5.67	11.53
	• Buildings (J3.6)		
	Survey not required (J5)		
Open water	Standing water (G1)	1.23	2.5
	Running water (G2)		

Phase 1 Habitat type	Amalgamated Phase 1 Habitats	Area within Study Area (Ha)	Proportion of Study Area (%)
Swamp, marginal inundation	Inundation vegetation (F2.2)	0.05	0.10
Tall herb and fern	 Bracken continuous (C1.1), scattered (C1.2) Tall ruderal (C3.1) Non-ruderal (C3.2) 	16.99	34.53
Woodland and scrub	 Broadleaved woodland semi- natural (A1.1.1), scattered (A3.1) Mixed woodland plantation (A1.3.2), semi-natural (A1.3.1), scattered (A3.3) Scrub (A2) scattered (A2.2), dense/continuous (A2.1) 	8.74	17.76
Rock exposure	Quarry (I2.1)	0.02	0.04
Total		49.20	100

GWDTE

10.2.74 Ostensibly, the Study Area's marshy grassland components could reflect a degree of groundwater dependency, however field studies identified that these features are predominantly small stands of Juncus and Molinea in low-lying areas of improved or semi-improved grassland features. The marshy grasslands have developed as a consequence of surface water flow and topography and are unlikely to be groundwater dependent.

Ancillary Infrastructure

10.2.75There is no ancillary infrastructure to be considered for this Study Area.

Earlstoun to Glenlee

Study Area Overview

- 10.2.76E-G habitats account for 2.40% of the KTR project. The connection runs in parallel to the P-G and R north route. The habitats comprise of a mosaic of lowland agricultural grasslands, tall herb and fern dominated by bracken, and smaller areas of semi-natural woodlands and scrub. This Study Area is within a relatively low gradient and sees the A762 pass to the east.
- 10.2.77 Much of the E-G Study Area is improved grassland used as pasture, dominant species present being perennial rye grass Lolium perenne and Yorkshire fog Holcus lanatus with abundant white clover Trifolium repens. In areas less managed, semi-improved neutral grassland appears with an increase in species diversity. Marshy grassland is found in connection with the drainage ditches and watercourses onsite.
- 10.2.78 Woodland and scrub is found within the Study Area near the substation of Earlstoun and Glenlee. In addition to these smaller areas a larger coniferous plantation woodland is present nearer the south of the Study Area; Hag wood, a conifer plantation on ancient woodlands (PAWS) site. Hag wood was recorded as having a substantial area of an INNS, Rhododendron.
- 10.2.79 Small areas of bracken were identified throughout the grassland with patches becoming locally dominant infrequently. A dense area of continuous bracken was recorded within an open area in Hag wood.
- 10.2.80 Running water is present in the form of a river tributary of the Water of Ken and as wet ditches/small streams. The river leading into the Glenlee Hydro station is a permanent feature while the small streams and ditches to the south of the site are periodically dry, as was noted from subsequent visits to the site following the initial field survey. The river was bordered by typical marshy grassland species and dominated by Purple moor-grass Molinia caerulea. The small streams were dominated by Juncus species notably soft rush Juncus effusus.
- 10.2.81The remainder of the habitats are comprised of other habitat types which included: buildings, roads, hard standing, bare ground or areas where there was no permitted access.

Detailed Habitat Description

A1 Woodland

- 10.2.82 Woodland has a canopy cover greater than 30% of trees more than 5m high when mature. Semi-natural woodland includes areas that do not obviously originate from planting. Mixed woodlands usually contain a number of native and non-native species planted in either a formal or naturalistic way.
- 10.2.83 There are several areas recorded as semi-natural broadleaved woodland along this route, the most common species recorded was oak Quercus robur; although, a number of mature native species were recorded scattered across the Study Area including: Ash Fraxinus excelsior, alder Alnus glutinosa, rowan Sorbus aucuparia and birch Betula spp.
- 10.2.84 More dense sections of woodland surround the substations of Earlstoun and Glenlee. These areas are predominantly of Birch Species Betula spp but with spruce scattered or acting as wind breaks/providing screening. The understory of these areas of woodland consisted of maintained grassland and a layer scrub/shrub layer in localised areas of rhododendron.

A2 Scrub- dense/Continuous or scattered

10.2.85 Scrub vegetation dominated by native shrubs, was recorded across the Study Area and usually in mosaic with other habitats as an understory within woodlands or as the shrub layer within grassland communities, but most commonly forming edge habitats. Scrub species varied by location but commonly Willow Salix spp. tended to be associated with wetter habitats and hawthorn Crategus monogyna was recorded as the primary scattered scrub species. Additionally, as mentioned above, Rhododendron was another scrub species found as part of the broader woodland ecosystem and in small areas, bramble Rubus fruticosus created impenetrable areas.

B1-5 Grassland and marsh

- 10.2.86 Grassland is the dominant habitat and comprises the majority of the habitat compositions for this Study Area. Improved grasslands are areas of grass-dominated habitat which have been so heavily influenced by agriculture, drainage, or other applications that the species present are limited to a few productive grasses e.g. ryegrass Lolium perenne and crested dog's-tail Cynosurus cristatus. Ranunculus species and Rumex species were abundant throughout the habitats. Small areas of semi-improved neutral grassland are present near the proposed new access tracks, dominant species being tufted hair-grass Deschampsia cespitosa, abundant false oat-grass Arrhenatherum elatius, and frequent cock's-foot grass Dactylis glomerata.
- 10.2.87 The marshy grassland within the route is predominantly soft rush over pasture. Marshy grassland is fairly evenly spread across the route, sitting within areas of heavily grazed improved grass. A larger patch with willow Salix spp., purple moor-grass Molinia caerulea and Juncus spp. present nearer the south of the Study Area.

C1-3 Tall Herb and fern

- 10.2.88Tall ruderal vegetation was recorded in scattered, but concentrated, pockets across the Study Area. Most frequently bracken was recorded, but other tall vegetation such as Rosebay willowherb Epilobium angustifolium, was frequent on roadside verges, embankments, and fenced-off areas.
- 10.2.89An area adjacent to the large watercourse running into the hydro station and the staff car park was noted as being dominated with non-wooded stands of vegetation including Great wood-rush Luzula sylvatica.

G2 Running Water

10.2.90 Running water is present in the form of a river tributary of the Water of Ken and as wet ditches/small streams stemming from the neighbouring agricultural fields. Coom burn is an additional permanent feature while the small streams and ditches within the south of the Study Area are periodically dry.

J5 Other Habitat

- 10.2.91A small percent of the route was classified as other habitat. This included areas of hard-standing, the Substation compound, buildings, car parks and private domestic dwellings/gardens.
- 10.2.92 Table 7 provides a brief summary of the habitat composition of the Study Area.

Table 7: Amalgamated Habitat types and proportion of the Study Area of EG Route

Phase 1 Habitat type	Amalgamated Phase 1 Habitats	Area within Study Area (Ha)	Proportion of Study Area (%)
Grassland	 Neutral grassland semi-improved (B2.2) 	25.09	64.60
	Improved grassland (B4)		
	Marsh/Marshy grassland (B5)		
Miscellaneous	Hard standing (J5)	3.45	8.88
	• Buildings (J3.6)		
	• Bare ground (J4)		
	Survey not required (J5)		
Open water	Running water (G2)	1.32	3.39
Tall herb and fern	Bracken continuous (C1.1), scattered (C1.2)	2.46	6.33
	Tall ruderal (C3.1)		
	Non-ruderal (C3.2)		
Woodland and scrub	Broadleaved woodland semi- natural (A1.1.1), scattered (A3.1)	6.52	16.80
	Coniferous woodland plantation (A1.2.2)		
	 Mixed woodland semi-natural (A1.3.1) 		
	Scrub (A2) scattered (A2.2), dense/continuous (A2.1)		
Total	•	38.84	100

GWDTE

10.2.93 Ostensibly, the Study Area's marshy grassland components could reflect a degree of groundwater dependency, however field studies identified that these features are predominantly small stands of Juncus and Molinia in low-lying areas of improved or semi-improved grassland features. The marshy grasslands have developed as a consequence of surface water flow and topography and are unlikely to be groundwater dependent.

Ancillary Infrastructure

10.2.94 There is no ancillary infrastructure to be considered for this Study Area.

BG Deviation

Study Area Overview

10.2.95BG Deviation Study Area accounts for the smallest area of land mass and habitat across the KTR Study Area; 1.63%. Woodland and scrub vegetation accounts for nearly 40% of the total habitat in this Study Area. The land running to the north, north-west is dominated by bracken and semi improved grasslands. The gentle slope of the ground sees water culminating downslope and the habitat becomes increasingly marshier to the south of the Study Area.

Detailed Habitat Descriptions

A1 Woodland

10.2.96 Semi-natural broadleaved woodland within the Study Area extends to Black Bank Wood, an Ancient Woodland to the south west of Glenlee substation. Despite its ancient woodland status, at the time of survey, the woodland had been felled (it had presumably been a mature forestry coup) and replanted with a mix of broadleaved species including oak, ash and rowan. The trees were immature and still in

protective tubes. Scattered trees, primarily birch and sycamore, were also recorded over marshy grassland and semi-improved grassland.

A2 Scrub- dense/Continuous or scattered

10.2.97 Scrub vegetation dominated by native shrubs, was recorded across the Study Area and usually in mosaic with other habitats. In particular, at the south of the Study Area, scrub comprised dense and scattered areas of gorse over marshy grasslands.

B2.2 Semi-improved Neutral Grassland

- 10.2.98 Neutral grassland covers a wide range of communities typically comprising enclosed areas with an element of management occurring, or having occurred in the recent past.
- 10.2.99The field immediately behind the existing substation consists of semi-improved neutral grassland. The dominant species being tufted hair-grass Deschampsia cespitosa, abundant false oat-grass Arrhenatherum elatius, and frequent Cock's-foot grass Dactylis glomerata. Similar enclosures were identified to the south, in mosaic with marshy grassland.

B5 Marshy Grassland

- 10.2.100 tall herbs where water is close the surface but not obviously visible like swamp.
- 10.2.101 Marshy grassland was identified in close association with semi-improved neutral grassland. It comprised stands of Juncus and Molinia in low lying areas of fields and on sloping ground, where surface water slowed or pooled.

C1.1 Continuous Bracken

10.2.102 grasslands, on the east-facing slope of Glenlee Hill.

J5 Other Habitat

10.2.103 hard-standing, the Substation compound, buildings, car parks and private domestic dwellings/gardens.

12.1 Quarry

- 10.2.104 pioneer vegetation, primarily rosebay-willowherb, colt's-foot and birch, were recorded.
- 10.2.105 proportion of the Study Area.

Table 8: Amalgamated Habitat types and proportion of the Study Area of BG Deviation

Phase 1 Habitat Group	Amalgamated Phase 1 Habitats	Area within Study Area (Ha)	Proportion of Study Area (%)
Woodland and scrub	 Broadleaved woodland semi- natural (A1.1.1), plantation (A1.1.2), scattered (A3.1) 	10.01	37.83
	Scrub (A2) scattered (A2.2), dense/continuous (A2.1)		
Grassland	 Neutral grassland semi-improved (B2.2) Acid grassland semi-improved (B1.2) 	9.42	35.60
	• Marsh/Marshy grassland (B5)		
Tall herb and fern	Bracken continuous (C1.1), scattered (C1.2)	6.64	25.10
Miscellaneous	Buildings (J3.6)Survey not required (J5)	0.32	1.21
Rock exposure	• Quarry (I2.1)	0.07	0.26
Total		26.46	100

Marshy grassland is a diverse set of habitats including those dominated by rushes, sedges, and

Extensive areas of bracken-dominated vegetation were identified in association with agricultural

A proportion of the land within the Study Area consisted of other habitat. This included areas of

A small disused quarry was recorded on the southern edge of the Study Area. Limited pockets of

Table 8 sets out the total area of each habitat within the Study Area, along with their relative

August 2020

GWDTE

10.2.106 Ostensibly, the Study Area's marshy grassland components could reflect a degree of groundwater dependency, however field studies identified that these features are predominantly small stands of Juncus and Molinea in low-lying areas of improved or semi-improved grassland features. The marshy grasslands have developed as a consequence of surface water flow and topography and are unlikely to be groundwater dependent.

Ancillary Infrastructure

There is no ancillary infrastructure to be considered for this Study Area. 10.2.107

Glenlee to Tongland Including Removal of R Route (South)

Study Area Overview

As the Study Areas for the G-T Connection and R Route (south) are geographically distinct for 10.2.108 the most part, each is discussed separately below.

GT Connection

- 10.2.109 G-T Study Area accounts for 47.64% of all habitats recorded within the KTR Study Area.
- 10.2.110 The north of the Study Area is dominated by agricultural grasslands, which have been previously improved for grazing livestock. Gently rolling hills to the west of the Study Area direct natural drainage to the Study Area, accounting for marshier and wetter areas within the agricultural landscape.
- However, woodland and scrub is the most dominant habitat feature of this Study Area, the 10.2.111 commercial forest blocks of Bennan and Laurieston being the largest areas of habitat recorded. Smaller areas of further woodland and forest are present along the G-T Study Area.
- Areas of heath and mire are found throughout the Study Area with a higher percentage of mire 10.2.112 habitats found south of Laurieston forest block, from tower 74 to 85 near Bargatton Loch.
- 10.2.113 The southern section from tower 92-120, of the Study Area is predominantly composed of improved grassland used for grazing livestock, in mosaic with small areas of other types of grassland and scrub.

R Route (south)

- 10.2.114 R Route (south) accounts for 31.11% of the habitats in the KTR Study Area.
- 10.2.115 Habitats along the R Route are characterised by their agricultural context. Improved grassland, predominantly grazing land, is dominant. Occasionally, species diversity increases, allowing the characterisation of grassland as semi-improved neutral grassland.
- In lower lying areas grassland becomes water-logged, giving rise to marshy grassland habitats. 10.2.116 Broadleaved woodland is present, normally in riparian form, while occasional stands of commercial coniferous plantation were also recorded, including felled areas. heath and mire habitats are recorded minimally across this route and generally were in poor condition due to pressures from agriculture or forestry.

Detailed Habitat Descriptions

A1. Woodland

- With the exception of Black bank wood which has been recently replanted with broadleaved 10.2.117 trees, broadleaved woodland coverage is largely riparian along Knocknaling burn, the River Dee, Black Water of Dee and small tributaries. The woodlands are largely linear and well-structured semi- mature mature and dense. The woodland canopy is a typical mix of beech, ash, oak and sycamore. Alder, lime and birch are frequent to common. Shrub layers vary but are generally self-sown canopy species. In some places, disturbance has hindered new growth and the higher levels of disturbance is evident in the bracken and bramble ground and field layers.
- Coniferous woodland plantation accounts for the largest volume if woodland cover. This 10.2.118 commercial plantation is primarily sitka spruce but occasionally this is punctuated by larch stands, with a broad range of age structures present, often over very degraded peatland habitats.

A2.1 Dense/Continuous Scrub

10.2.119 enclosures have been encroached. Gorse or broom stands and scattered hawthorn or birch were occasionally recoded.

B1-5: Grassland and marsh

- 10.2.120 with a typical species assemblage, often in mosaic with marshy grassland, where hollows or depressions allow Juncus and rank grasses to develop.
- 10.2.121 agricultural landscape. Typically enclosed areas of rank ungrazed grassland were present with corresponding diversity. Dominated by improved species such as: Holcus lanatus, Lolium perenne, Festuca rubra, but additionally alongside these were molinia caeulea, Deschampsia cespitosa and Dactylis glomerata. Herbs typical of agricultural context were present: Ranunculus acris, Plantago spp., Tussilago farfara etc. Umbellifers and Filipendula ulmaria in wetter areas. Cirsium spp. and Digitalis purpurea were present in drier patches.
- 10.2.122 heathland where grazing pressure has resulted in a loss of ericoids, encouraging the domination of grass species, particularly Dechampsia flexuosa and Molinia caerulea. Open areas in plantation forests are also occasionally classified as acid grassland in mosaic with bracken and heath species. There are few areas where Nardus stricta is dominant but this is restricted to areas around Stroan hill within the mix of crested dog's tail Cynosurus cristatus yellow rattle Rhinanthus minor and some orchids.
- 10.2.123 tufted hair grass associations with improved conditions - Yorkshire fog Holcus lanatus, perennial rye grass Lolium perenne, cock's-foot Dactylis glomerata etc. In some locations it is in mosaic with scrub, often gorse. Less commonly marshy grassland is association with modified bog, a common feature of forestry rides and fire breaks.

C1-3 Tall Herb and fern

10.2.124 noted in forest breaks and in association with heaths, seen on the lower slopes of moor habitat. Occasionally bracken was noted in mosaic with scattered birch Betula spp. and rowan Sorbus aucuparia.

D1, 2, 5, 6 Heathland

10.2.125 dominated by Calluna vulgaris shrubs in either open or closed canopy. Purple moor grass Molinia caerulea and Deschampsia cespitosa were abundant to frequent. Heath habitat was often in the mosaic with scattered trees, primarily birch Betula spp. Ericoid species were present but subordinate to Calluna. Bryophytes were generally limited but Hypnum mosses were recorded.

E1.7-E1.8 Mire

10.2.126 coups. The habitat was generally dominated by Calluna vulgaris but there was often no distinctive community due to the habitat being so impoverished. Molinia caerulea and Deschampsia flexuosa were recorded in abundance while *Eriophorum* species were occasionally present, no clear NVC community was present. Wet and dry modified bog habitat comprises of bog vegetation with little or no sphagnum moss. Both wet and dry modified bogs were recorded in small areas scattered across the Study Area, generally in isolated patches of flatter ground.

F1- F2 Swamp and marginal inundation vegetation

10.2.127 habitat was found in areas of marshy grassland within deeper hollows of the ground which have allowed swamp habitat to form with a typical species assemblage of Phragmites australis, Typha, Phalaris arundinacea etc.

G1-2 Standing and running Water

10.2.128 in the southern section of the existing R route. Other standing water bodies included the southern edge

Various stands of scrub are present in the Study Area. Often scrub is in disturbed areas or where

Improved grassland was extensive across the Study Area, comprising heavily managed pasture

Neutral grassland was present frequently in semi-improved stands, often within the wider

Acid grassland was generally found in small patches and most commonly within mosaics in dry

Marshy grassland is extensive and generally accounts for areas of rush, purple-moor grass, or

Extensive stands of bracken *Pteridium aquilinum* were recorded in disturbed areas. Commonly

Heathland mosaics were restricted to open area within the forest estate. Heath was generally

Much like the heath described above, this habitat was largely defined by open areas in forest

Swamp habitat was recorded minimally across the route and within the R route Study Area. The

Standing water was recorded in the form of small pools and ponds; this was particularly common

of Bargatton Loch and in small pools formed by the Water of Ken tributaries. Running water was evident across the entire Study Area in the form of numerous burns, drainage ditches, river tributaries of the many Loch's adjacent to the route.

12.1 Quarry

10.2.129 Quarry was recorded minimally across the site within the existing Breedon Tongland, Craigwhelan, Hind craig and Wills hill quarries.

J5 Other Habitat

- 10.2.130 A small percent of the route was classified as other habitat. This included areas of hardstanding, the Substation compound, buildings, car parks and private domestic dwellings/gardens.
- Table 9 provides a brief summary of the habitat composition of the Study Area. 10.2.131

Table 9: Amalgamated Habitat types and proportion of the Study Area of G-T including the Existing R Route:

Phase 1 Habitat type	Amalgamated Phase 1 Habitats	Area within Study Area (Ha)	Proportion of Study Area (%)
Grassland	Acid grassland (unimproved/ semi- improved) (B1.1/B1.2)	610.69	48.91
	 Neutral grassland (unimproved and semi-improved) (B2.1/ B2.2) 		
	 Improved and poor semi-improved grassland (B4) 		
	Marsh/Marshy grassland (B5)		
	 Poor semi-improved Grassland (B6) 		
Heathland	Dry dwarf shrub heath (D1)	32.33	2.59
	Wet dwarf shrub heath (D2)		
	Dry heath/acid grassland (D5)		
	Wet heath/acid grassland (D6)		
Mire	• Fen -basin mire (E3.2)	21.34	1.71
	• Fen- flood plain mire (E3.3)		
	Acid flush (E2.1)		
	Wet modified bog (E1.7)		
	Dry modified bog (E1.8)		
Miscellaneous	Arable (J1.1)	49.40	3.96
	Ornamental planting (J5)		
	Hard standing (J5)		
	Buildings (J3.6)		
	Amenity grassland (J1.2)		
	• Bare ground (J4)		
	Other habitat (J5)		
	Survey not required (J5)		
Open water	Standing water (G1)	5.62	0.45
	Running water (G2)		
Rock exposure	Acid/neutral rock (I1.4.1)	6.91	0.55
	Basic rock (I1.4.2)		
	• Quarry (I2.1)		
Swamp, marginal inundation	• Swamp (F1)	2.03	0.16
	 Marginal and Inundation vegetation (F2.2) 		

Phase 1 Habitat type	Amalgamated Phase 1 Habitats	Area within Study Area (Ha)	Proportion of Study Area (%)
Tall herb and fern	Bracken Continuous/ scattered (C1.1/ C1.2)	70.02	5.61
	Tall ruderal (C3.1)		
	Non-ruderal (C3.2)		
Woodland and scrub	Broadleaved woodland natural (A1), plantation (A1.1.2), semi- natural (A1.1.1), scattered (A3.1)	450.17	36.06
	 Coniferous woodland (natural (A1), plantation (A1.2.2), semi- natural (A1.2.1), scattered (A3.2)) 		
	 Mixed woodland (natural (A1), plantation (A1.3.2), semi-natural (A1.3.1), scattered (A3.3) 		
	• Scrub (A2) (scattered (A2.2), dense/continuous (A2.1))		
	Recently felled (A4)		
Total	•	1,248.51	100

GWDTE

- 10.2.132 The Study Area's many areas of marshy grassland suggest some level of groundwater dependency; however visual observations noted that topographical factors were key in influencing these vegetation communities.
- 10.2.133 Modified bog habitats are ostensibly groundwater dependent. However, where these are present within the Study Area, they generally correlate with areas of deep peat (over 1m deep) on level of gently sloping ground, suggesting ombrogenous systems highly dependent on rain water. No bog systems with the Study Area are considered to be groundwater dependent.
- Like many other Study Areas, the G-T route supports many small areas of marshy grassland. 10.2.134 However, the majority of these are small pockets of *Juncus* in depressions or low-lying areas within heavily improved grassland. Their species composition is a function of topography and surface water flow and do not suggest a groundwater dependency.
- 10.2.135 However, two areas of marshy grassland that accords with NVC M23 Juncus effusus/acutiflorus -Galium palustre rush-pasture, a mire community, were identified in the north of the Study Area, in the north of the route, near Maggot Plantation, towers 6-8 (refer to Figure 3). According to best practiceⁱⁱ, M23 is considered to be potentially highly groundwater dependent.
- 10.2.136 These communities were much more species diverse than other marshy grasslands in the Study Area. While still dominated by Molinia and juncus effusus, the habitat also supported a wide range of neutral grasses, including Anthoxanthum odoratum. Acidic and mire conditions were represented by the presence of sphagnum, although rare, tormentil, deer grass Trichophorum cespitosum and butterwort Pinguicula vulgaris. Bog myrtle was abundant throughout these communities.
- Topographically, the communities are located downhill of an ombrogenous bog system, with a 10.2.137 number of small watercourses flowing to a small loch to the north-east. While it is likely that these communities, therefore, rely on surface water flows to some degree, it is not possible to entirely rule out a level of groundwater dependency. While M23 communities can be considered highly groundwater dependent, at these locations they are considered to have a dependency no greater than moderate.

Ancillary Infrastructure

10.2.138 for calculation purposes, these have been included to represent the associated habitats. Table 10 below provides more detail on these areas.

G-T Study Area has ancillary infrastructure associated with the Connection. As mentioned above,

Table 10: Ancillary infrastructure associated with G-T and R Route Removal

Additional Working Area	Description: habitat assessment
Gallows Knowe Quarry	Newly planted woodland
	There is an existing small quarry here
Will's Hill Quarry	Coniferous woodland plantation/ areas of clear-fell
	• There is an existing small quarry here
Hind Craig Quarry	Primarily composed of coniferous woodland plantation and grasslands
	There is an existing small quarry here
Construction compound 3	Coniferous woodland plantation and areas of felled forest
Construction compound 4	Coniferous woodland on heavily Modified bog
Construction compound 5	Existing quarry and surrounded by woodland and bracken
Construction compound 6	No data due to Access issues
Craigelwhan Quarry and Craigelwhan West Quarry	Craigelwhan Quarry has been recently felled conifer plantation
	Craigelwhan West Quarry mature conifer plantation
Lochenbreck Quarry	Primarily coniferous woodland plantation with small areas of dry dwarf shrub heath with a scattering of self-seeded conifers and some bracken.

Appendix 10.2: Phase 1 Habitat and NVC Survey

Annex 1 Figures Appendix 10.2: Phase 1 Habitat and NVC Survey



Contains Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright and database right 2020



© Crown copyright and database rights 2020 Ordnance Survey 0100031673

CB:JN EB:nunn_j LUCGLA FIG2_6114-001-KTR_PHASE1_10k 04/08/2020



© Crown copyright and database rights 2020 Ordnance Survey 0100031673

CB:JN EB:nunn_j LUCGLA FIG2_6114-001-KTR_PHASE1_10k 04/08/2020



© Crown copyright and database rights 2020 Ordnance Survey 0100031673

CB:JN EB:nunn_j LUCGLA FIG2_6114-001-KTR_PHASE1_10k 04/08/2020



KTR Project EIA Report





© Crown copyright and database rights 2020 Ordnance Survey 0100031673

CB:JN EB:nunn_j LUCGLA FIG2_6114-001-KTR_PHASE1_10k 04/08/2020

SearIstoun to Glenlee (wood pole) SearIstoun to Glenlee (temporary wood pole) Glenlee to Tongland (steel lattice tower) BG route deviation (steel lattice tower) Existing tower for removal Existing 132kV overhead line to be removed _ _ _ _ (following construction of the KTR Project) Underground cable Access to proposed towers = = = Existing access New access Timber extraction spur Access to towers for removal --- Existing access New access Working area Phase 1 Habitat Survey Study area Target note Invasive species area Phase 1 Habitat Linear Features J2.1.2 Intact hedge (species-poor) - J2.2.2 Defunct hedge (species-poor) HHHH J2.4 Fence J2.5 Wall - J2.6 Dry ditch • TL Tree line Phase 1 Habitat Areas

KTR Project

EIA Report

NVC Survey

tower)

 \bowtie

Technical Appendix 10.2: Habitat and

Polquhanity to Glenlee via Kendoon (steel lattice

Figure 2.4: Phase 1 Habitats

Overhead line infrastructure



LUC

F1 Swamp G2 Running water

A J1.1

J4 Bare ground

Survey Not Required





© Crown copyright and database rights 2020 Ordnance Survey 0100031673

CB:JN EB:nunn_j LUCGLA FIG2_6114-001-KTR_PHASE1_10k 04/08/2020



KTR Project EIA Report





CB:JN EB:nunn_j LUCGLA FIG2_6114-001-KTR_PHASE1_10k 04/08/2020



© Crown copyright and database rights 2020 Ordnance Survey 0100031673

CB:JN EB:nunn_j LUCGLA FIG2_6114-001-KTR_PHASE1_10k 04/08/2020



KTR Project EIA Report

Tech	nical Appendix 10.2: Habitat and
NVC Eigu	Survey
Figu	re 2.7: Phase T Habitats
Overl	head line infrastructure
	Glenlee to Tongland (steel lattice tower)
	Existing 132kV overhead line to be removed (following construction of the KTR Project)
	Existing network
Acces	s to proposed towers
	Existing access
	New access
_	Timber extraction spur
	Working area
37633	Construction compound
\sim	Potential quarry working area
Phase	1 Habitat Survey
	Study area
Phase	1 Habitat Linear Features
	J2.5 Wall
Phase	
Thase	A1.1.1 Broadleaved woodland (semi-natural)
	A1.2.1 Coniferous woodland (semi-natural)
77	A1.2.2 Coniferous woodland (plantation)
	A1.2.2 Coniferous woodland (plantation)/A1.1.2 Broadleaved woodland
	A2.1 Scrub (dense/continuous)
XX	A2.2 Scrub (scattered)
X	A2.2 Scrub (scattered)/C1.2 Bracken (scattered)
	A4.2 Coniferous woodland (recently felled)
	B2.2 Neutral grassland (semi-improved)
	B5 Marshy grassland
	B5 Marshy grassland/A3.2 Coniferous scattered trees
	B5 Marshy grassland/A3.2 Coniferous scattered trees/C1.2 Bracken
	(scattered) B5 Marshy grassland/A3.3 Mixed scattered trees
	B5 Marshy grassland/C1.2 Bracken (scattered)
	B5 Marshy grassland/C1.2 Bracken (scattered)/A3.2 Coniferous scattered
	rees
	C1.2 Bracken (scattered)/B5 Marshy grassland
	D5 Dry heath/acid grassland/B5 Marshy grassland/C1.2 Bracken
	(scattered)
	F1.8 Dry modified bog
	G2 Running water
2	I2.1 Quarry
	Survey Not Required
-	
	SP ENERGY
	NETWORKS

11-100 2 Burnfoot 3 4 19 5 20 8 21 20 9 10 22 11 12 13 Q4 23 24 26 27 28 0.5 0.25 0 29 Edward km Map Scale @ A3: 10,000 T Cairn Edward Hill

© Crown copyright and database rights 2020 Ordnance Survey 0100031673

CB:JN EB:nunn_j LUCGLA FIG2_6114-001-KTR_PHASE1_10k 04/08/2020





© Crown copyright and database rights 2020 Ordnance Survey 0100031673

CB:JN EB:nunn_j LUCGLA FIG2_6114-001-KTR_PHASE1_10k 04/08/2020

KTR Project EIA Report

Techi NVC	nical Appendix 10.2: Habitat and Survey
Figur	e 2.9: Phase 1 Habitats
Overh	ead line infrastructure
$\mathbf{\times}$	Glenlee to Tongland (steel lattice tower)
	Existing 132kV overhead line to be removed (following construction of the KTR Project)
Access	s to proposed towers
	Existing access
	New access
	Timber extraction spur
<u>8999</u>	Working area
61.00	Construction compound
Phase	1 Habitat Survey
	Study area
۲	Target note
Phase	1 Habitat Areas
	A1.1.1 Broadleaved woodland (semi-natural)
	A1.2.1 Coniferous woodland (semi-natural)
X	A1.2.1 Coniferous woodland (semi-natural)/B5 Marshy grassland/C1.2 Bracken (scattered)
XX	A1.2.1 Coniferous woodland (semi-natural)/E1.7 Wet modified bog
	A1.2.2 Conterous woodland (plantation)
	A2.1 Scrub (dense/continuous)
	A3.2 Conference scattered trees/E1.7 Wet modified hop
	A4.2 Coniferous woodland (recently felled)
	B5 Marshy grassland
	B5 Marshy grassland/A3.1 Broadleaved scattered trees
	B5 Marshy grassland/A3.2 Coniferous scattered trees
119	B5 Marshy grassland/A3.3 Mixed scattered trees
X	B5 Marshy grassland/C1.2 Bracken (scattered)/A3.3 Mixed scattered trees
	C1.1 Bracken (continuous)
	C1.1 Bracken (continuous)/A3.2 Coniferous scattered trees
	C1.2 Bracken (scattered)
X X	D1 Dry dwarf shrub heath/A2.2 Scrub (scattered)
	D5 Dry heath/acid grassland/A3.1 Broadleaved scattered trees
	D5 Dry heath/acid grassland/A3.2 Confierous scattered trees
	scattered trees
	E1.7 Wet modified bog
	E1.7 Wet modified bog/A3.3 Mixed scattered trees
\mathbf{X}	E1.7 Wet modified bog/B5 Marshy grassland/A3.3 Mixed scattered trees
	E1.7 Wet modified bog/C1.2 Bracken (scattered)/A3.1 Broadleaved scattered trees
	G1 Standing water
	Survey Not Required





© Crown copyright and database rights 2020 Ordnance Survey 0100031673

CB:JN EB:nunn_j LUCGLA FIG2_6114-001-KTR_PHASE1_10k 04/08/2020





© Crown copyright and database rights 2020 Ordnance Survey 0100031673

CB:JN EB:nunn_j LUCGLA FIG2_6114-001-KTR_PHASE1_10k 04/08/2020



© Crown copyright and database rights 2020 Ordnance Survey 0100031673

CB:JN EB:nunn_j LUCGLA FIG2_6114-001-KTR_PHASE1_10k 04/08/2020



KTR Project

EIA Report

NVC Survey

Technical Appendix 10.2: Habitat and

Glenlee to Tongland (steel lattice tower)

Existing 132kV overhead line to be removed (following construction of the KTR Project)

Figure 2.12: Phase 1 Habitats Overhead line infrastructure

Access to proposed towers

Timber extraction spur

Potential quarry working area

= = = Existing access New access

Working area





© Crown copyright and database rights 2020 Ordnance Survey 0100031673

CB:JN EB:nunn_j LUCGLA FIG2_6114-001-KTR_PHASE1_10k 04/08/2020



© Crown copyright and database rights 2020 Ordnance Survey 0100031673

CB:JN EB:nunn_j LUCGLA FIG2_6114-001-KTR_PHASE1_10k 04/08/2020



© Crown copyright and database rights 2020 Ordnance Survey 0100031673

CB:JN EB:nunn_j LUCGLA FIG2_6114-001-KTR_PHASE1_10k 04/08/2020



© Crown copyright and database rights 2020 Ordnance Survey 0100031673

CB:JN EB:nunn_j LUCGLA FIG2_6114-001-KTR_PHASE1_10k 04/08/2020



© Crown copyright and database rights 2020 Ordnance Survey 0100031673

CB:JN EB:nunn_j LUCGLA FIG2_6114-001-KTR_PHASE1_10k 04/08/2020



© Crown copyright and database rights 2020 Ordnance Survey 0100031673

CB:JN EB:nunn_j LUCGLA FIG2_6114-001-KTR_PHASE1_10k 04/08/2020

KTR Project EIA Report

© Crown copyright and database rights 2020 Ordnance Survey 0100031673

CB:JN EB:nunn_j LUCGLA FIG2_6114-001-KTR_PHASE1_10k 04/08/2020

Shaw Hil

© Crown copyright and database rights 2020 Ordnance Survey 0100031673

CB:JN EB:nunn_j LUCGLA FIG2_6114-001-KTR_PHASE1_10k 04/08/2020

© Crown copyright and database rights 2020 Ordnance Survey 0100031673

CB:JN EB:nunn_j LUCGLA FIG2_6114-001-KTR_PHASE1_10k 04/08/2020

© Crown copyright and database rights 2020 Ordnance Survey 0100031673

CB:JN EB:nunn_j LUCGLA FIG2_6114-001-KTR_PHASE1_10k 04/08/2020

 $\textcircled{\sc c}$ Crown copyright and database rights 2020 Ordnance Survey 0100031673

CB:JN EB:nunn_j LUCGLA FIG2_6114-001-KTR_PHASE1_10k 04/08/2020

 $\textcircled{\sc c}$ Crown copyright and database rights 2020 Ordnance Survey 0100031673

CB:JN EB:nunn_j LUCGLA FIG2_6114-001-KTR_PHASE1_10k 04/08/2020

KTR Project EIA Report

 $\textcircled{\sc c}$ Crown copyright and database rights 2020 Ordnance Survey 0100031673

CB:JN EB:nunn_j LUCGLA FIG2_6114-001-KTR_PHASE1_10k 04/08/2020

EIA Report Technical Appendix 10.2: Habitat and NVC Survey Figure 2.26: Phase 1 Habitats Overhead line infrastructure Existing tower for removal Existing 132kV overhead line to be removed (following construction of the KTR Project) ——— Existing network Access to proposed towers New access Access to towers for removal --- Existing access New access Phase 1 Habitat Survey Study area Target note Phase 1 Habitat Linear Features H+++++ J2.3.2 Hedge with trees (species-poor) HHHH J2.4 Fence J2.5 Wall Phase 1 Habitat Areas A1.1.1 Broadleaved woodland (semi-natural) A1.1.2 Broadleaved woodland (plantation) A1.2.2 Coniferous woodland (plantation) A2.1 Scrub (dense/continuous) A2.1 Scrub (dense/continuous)/B5 Marshy grassland A2.2 Scrub (scattered) B2.2 Neutral grassland (semi-improved) B4 Improved grassland B4 Improved grassland/A2.2 Scrub (scattered) B5 Marshy grassland B5 Marshy grassland/B1.2 Acid grassland (semi-G1 Standing water J1.1 A J1.2 Amenity Survey Not Required

KTR Project

@ Crown copyright and database rights 2020 Ordnance Survey 0100031673 $% \end{tabular}$

CB:JN EB:nunn_j LUCGLA FIG2_6114-001-KTR_PHASE1_10k 04/08/2020

© Crown copyright and database rights 2020 Ordnance Survey 0100031673

CB:JN EB:nunn_j LUCGLA FIG3_6114-001-KTR_GWDTE 04/08/2020

KTR Project EIA Report

Tech NVC	nical Appendix 10.2: Habitat and Survey
Figur Terre Natio Surv	re 3: Groundwater Dependant estrial Ecosystems (GWDTE) and onal Vegetation Classification (NVC) ey Results
Overl	nead line infrastructure
	Polquhanity to Glenlee via Kendoon (steel lattice tower)
	Existing tower for removal Existing 132kV overhead line to be removed (following construction of the KTR Project)
	Existing network
Acce	ss to proposed towers
	 Existing access
	 New access
	 Timber extraction spur
Acce	ss to towers for removal
	- New access
08080	Working area
Phase	3 1 Habitat Survey
GWD	N22 lungue offueue/courtificrue Colium
	palustre rush-pasture
25	Pages with no results in the study area are not shown and are
8-1	St John's Town displayed in grey below
1	of Dalry O New Galloway
terings	haws Dum
Loch	Ken 10
	Crocketford
	Castle
2	Douglas
5	Gatehouse S O Dall
0	of Fleet
LU	
	SP ENERGY NETWORKS

© Crown copyright and database rights 2020 Ordnance Survey 0100031673

CB:JN EB:nunn_j LUCGLA FIG3_6114-001-KTR_GWDTE 04/08/2020

Annex 2 Site Photography

Photograph 1: Improved Grassland (B4)

Photograph 3: Neutral Grassland (Semi-Improved) (B2.2)

Photograph 2: Marshy Grassland (B5)

Photograph 4: Arable (J1.1)

Photograph 5: Flood Plain Mire (E3.3)

Photograph 7: Inundation Vegetation (F2.2)

Photograph 6: Swamp (F1)

Photograph 8: Bracken (Continuous) (C1.1)

Photograph 9: Broadleaf (Scattered) (A3.1)

Photograph 11: Broadleaf (Semi-Natural) (A1.1.1)

Photograph 10: Broadleaf (Plantation) (A1.1.2)

Photograph 12: Conifer (Planation) (A1.2.2)

Appendix 10.2: Phase 1 Habitat and NVC Survey

Photograph 13: Conifer (Semi-Natural) (A1.2.1)

Photograph 14: Quarry (I2.1)

Appendix 10.2: Phase 1 Habitat and NVC Survey

Annex 3 Target Notes Appendix 10.2: Extended Phase 1 Habitat and NVC Survey

Target notes are presented for an Extended Phase 1 Habitat Survey of the KTR Project between 2017 and 2019. Data was collected by LUC field ecologists and should be used to provide further context to the Phase 1 Habitat Map provided in Annex 1.

Target Note Number	Date Recorded	Habitat	Comment/Detail		
1	27/06/17 Marshy grassland		Orchids recorded in small, wet stand. Suggests slightly more diverse sward than standard agricultural marshy grassland		
2	27/06/17 Marshy grassland		Orchids recorded in small, wet stand. Suggests slightly more diverse sward than standard agricultural marshy grassland		
3	08/11/17 Coniferous woodland (plantation)		Extensive area of windthrow. Not safe to enter for survey purposes		
4	28/06/17 Broadleaved scattered trees		Scattered mature oak over a species poor marshy grassland		
5	28/06/17 Continuous bracken		Orchids recorded amongst dense bracken, suggesting a more species diverse seedbank		
6	28/06/17 Continuous bracken		Mature birch over bracken		
7	28/06/17 Continuous bracken		Hawthorn over bracken		
8	28/06/17 Marshy grassland		Limited scrub, mostly hawthorn, on banks of small stream		
9	28/06/17 Marshy grassland		Orchids recorded in small, wet stand. Suggests slightly more diverse sward than standard agricultural marshy grassland		
10	28/06/17 Marshy grassland		Extensive area of soft rush associated with small water course that drains directly to Carsfad Loch		
11	28/06/17 Neutral grassland/scattered trees		Ash over species-restricted grazing pasture		
12	28/06/17	Neutral grassland/scattered trees	Ash over species-restricted grazing pasture, gorse and hawthorn also present		
13	28/06/17	Neutral grassland/scattered trees	Ash over species-restricted grazing pasture, gorse and hawthorn also present. Denser than TN12		
14	28/06/17	Marshy grassland	Expanse of soft rush amongst bracken field, adjacent to small drainage ditch		
15	28/06/17	Quarry	Exposed rock in disused quarry area. Marshy grassland dominated quarry floor		
16	28/06/17	Marshy grassland	Orchids recorded in small, wet stand. Suggests slightly more diverse sward than standard agricultural marshy grassland		
17	29/06/17	Marshy grassland/scattered trees	Willow and hawthorn over soft-rush dominated grassland. Gorse makes up a scrub layer		
18	29/06/17	Marshy grassland/scattered trees	Willow and hawthorn over soft-rush dominated grassland. Marks a delineation with improved grassland		
19	04/07/17	Acid grassland	Small area of heath-spotted orchid in an area of highly modified mire and acid grassland. Encroachment by bracken noted		
20	05/07/17	Marshy grassland	Orchids recorded in small, wet stand. Suggests slightly more diverse sward than standard agricultural marshy grassland		
21	05/07/17	Scattered scrub	Hawthorn scrub over standard marshy grassland		
22	05/07/17	Broadleaved scattered trees	Birch over bracken and acid grassland mosaic		
23	05/07/17	Broadleaved scattered trees	Birch over bracken and acid grassland mosaic. More mature than TN22 but no bat roost potential (BRP) recorded		
24	05/07/17	Broadleaved scattered trees	Granny oak recorded. No BRP identified		
25	05/07/17	Scattered scrub	Hawthorn scrub on edge of marshy grassland area		
26	05/07/17	Marshy grassland	Very small area of orchid in otherwise impoverished marshy grassland		
27	14/07/17	Broadleaved Scattered trees	Mature oak, no BRP identified.		
28	14/07/17 Scattered scrub		Hawthorn scrub over semi-improved neutral pasture		
29	14/07/17 Scattered scrub		Hawthorn scrub over extensive bracken		
30	14/07/17	Scattered scrub	Hawthorn scrub over extensive bracken		
31	14/07/17	Scattered scrub	Hawthorn scrub over extensive bracken		
32	14/07/17	Scattered scrub	Hawthorn scrub over extensive bracken		
33	14/07/17	Scattered scrub	Hawthorn scrub on banks of small water course		
34	14/07/17	Scattered scrub	Gorse and elder over extensive bracken		
35	13/07/17	Broadleaved Scattered trees	Mature oak, no BRP identified.		
36	13/07/17	Broadleaved Scattered trees	Mature oak, no BRP identified.		
37	13/07/17	Broadleaved Scattered trees	Mature oak, no BRP identified.		
38	13/07/17	Scattered scrub	Scattered hawthorn adjacent to agricultural buildings		
39	13/07/17	Broadleaved Scattered trees	Mature alder in improved pasture, no BRP identified.		
40	13/07/17 Broadleaved Scattered trees		Scattered mature lime and birch		

1
L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L
1
ted
N
]

Target Note Number	Date Recorded	Habitat	Comment/Detail
41	13/11/18	Broadleaves scattered trees	Stand of rowan, adjacent to recently re-planted Black Bank Wood
42	25/10/18	Coniferous forest (plantation)	Recently felled area of pine
43	23/10/18	Marshy grassland	Small area of soft rush dominated grassland
44	23/10/18	Coniferous woodland (plantation)	Extensive sitka spruce
45	17/10/18	Standing water	Flooded area, arising from adjacent watercourse. Dominated by Typa and Carex species
46	02/05/17	Scattered scrub	Hawthorn scrub along watercourse
47	20/04/17	Scattered scrub	Hawthorn scrub in opening in extensive bracken
48	20/04/17	Dense scrub	Dense willow carr in flooded area of watercourse
49	20/04/17	Marshy grassland	Wet strip supporting soft rush and jointed rush
50	19/04/17	Mire	Extensive Calluna with some Carex and Juncus spp.
51	19/04/17	Mire	Rare sundew on degraded mire system
52	19/04/17	Mire	Slightly more diverse part of mire system. Carex assemblage slightly more diverse
53	26/04/17	Marshy grassland	Wet depression supporting soft rush and jointed rush
54	08/11/17	Broadleaved scattered trees	Single mature hawthorn over a complex mosaic of bracken, semi-improved acid grassland and neutral grassland. Highly
55	08/11/17	Bracken	Large stand of bracken over semi-improved acid grassland
56	08/11/17	-	Fox den
57	16/10/18	Boulder	Rocky outcrop with potential for reptile hibernation
58	18/04/17	Broadleaved scattered trees	Scattered mature sycamore
59	18/04/17	Standing water	Small are of standing water in a field depression
60	12/04/17	Marshy grassland	Stand of soft rush dominated vegetation at side of road
61	12/04/17	Broadleaved scattered trees	Sycamore along roadside
62	12/04/17	Hedge with trees (species poor)	Standing dead wood in hedgerow
63	12/04/17	Marshy grassland	Stand of soft rush dominated vegetation in otherwise improved grassland
64	11/04/17	Scattered scrub	Scattered hawthorn on edge of improved grassland and mixed plantation
65	10/04/17	Broadleaved scattered trees	Semi-mature ash with immature ivy coverage
66	19/07/17	-	Very wet area - could not be accessed by detailed survey
67	19/07/17	Marshy grassland	Very small are of soft rush, in depression, in otherwise improved pasture grassland
68	19/10/18	Broadleaved scattered trees	Mature oak in improved grassland
69	09/10/18	Marshy grassland	Very small are of soft rush, in low-lying area, in otherwise improved pasture grassland
70	09/10/18	Broadleaved scattered trees	Mature birch along access track
71	10/10/18	Semi-improved neutral grassland	Slightly more diverse area of pasture, including cock's-foot, sweet vernal-grass and crested dog's-tail
72	19/10/18	Broadleaved scattered trees	Isolated hawthorn
73	10/10/18	Broadleaved scattered trees	Mature birch in pasture
74	12/10/18	Broadleaved scattered trees	Large, mature oak, standing proud of woodland
75	11/10/18	Broadleaved scattered trees	Scattered oak and hawthorn
76	11/10/18	-	Fish hatchery
77	12/11/18	Standing water	Flooded area recorded
78	12/11/18	Boulders	Area of exposed bedrock with suitability for reptiles
79	01/11/18	Boulders	Area of exposed bedrock with suitability for reptiles
80	02/11/18	Mire	Extensive bog myrtle in otherwise impoverished mire
81	25/10/18	Broadleaved scattered trees	Small are of willow scrub
82	25/10/18 Heath/Acid Grassland		Bog myrtle in very small area of heath/grassland

ly modified

Target Note	Date Recorded	Habitat	Comment/Detail
Number			
83	25/10/18	Broadleaved scattered trees	One mature oak and one semi-mature ash
84	25/10/18	Marshy grassland	Fairly typical agricultural marshy grassland. Dominated by soft rush with Molinea also present.
85	25/10/18	Standing water	Small flooded area
86	25/10/18	Dense scrub	Continuous gorse over marshy grassland
87	10/08/17	Marshy grassland	Area of soft rush over neutral pasture
88	25/10/18	Heath	Dense area of Calluna in mosaic of bracken and scattered scrub
89	24/10/18	Boulders	Rocky outcrop in pasture
90	24/10/18	Other	Road access
91	24/10/18	Boulders	Rocky outcrop in pasture
92	24/10/18	Broadleaved scattered trees	Thin line of alder on forest edge
93	24/10/18	Mire	Small area of sphagnum, Molinea and soft rush
94	24/10/18	Bracken	Continuous bracken on both sides of access track
95	24/10/18	Scattered scrub	Areas of gorse adjacent to access track
96	24/10/18	Marshy grassland	Small area of rush in improved pasture
97	24/10/18	Standing water	Small pond, very acidic
98	24/10/18	Coniferous scattered trees	Small area of scattered sitka spruce
99	23/10/18	Marshy grassland	Small area of soft rush dominated grassland
100	27/04/17	Marshy grassland	Small area of soft rush dominated grassland
101	23/10/18	Marshy grassland	Fan-shaped are of soft rush, created by small watercourse in pasture
102	23/10/18	Scattered scrub	Hawthorn scrub adjacent to borrow pit
103	23/10/18	Scattered scrub	Hawthorn scrub
104	23/10/18	Scattered scrub	Hawthorn scrub in improved pasture
105	17/10/18	Scattered scrub	Localised stand of dense bramble

Appendix 10.2: Extended Phase 1 Habitat and NVC Survey

References

Appendix 10.2: Extended Phase 1 Habitat and NVC Survey

ⁱ JNCC (2010). Handbook for Phase 1 Habitat Survey – a technique for environmental audit. JNCC, Peterborough ⁱⁱ SEPA (2017). Land Use Planning System SEPA Guidance Note 31. Guidance on Assessing the Impacts of Development Proposals on Groundwater Abstractions and Groundwater Dependent Terrestrial Ecosystems. iii Rodwell, J.S. 1991-2000. British plant communities. 5 Volumes. Cambridge University Press.