

Marine Directorate – Science Evidence Data and Digital (MD-SEDD) advice on freshwater and diadromous fish and fisheries in relation to the installation of overhead line developments.

Updated September 2023

Marine Directorate – Science Evidence Data and Digital (MD-SEDD) provides internal, non-statutory, advice in relation to freshwater and diadromous fish and fisheries to the Scottish Government’s Energy Consents Unit (ECU) for the installation and maintenance of overhead line (OHL) developments in Scotland.

Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*), sea trout and brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) are of high economic value and conservation interest in Scotland and for which MD-SEDD has in-house expertise. The route of OHLs often cross watercourses which support important salmon and trout populations. MD-SEDD aims, through our provision of advice to ECU, to ensure that the installation and maintenance of these OHLs do not have a detrimental impact on the fish habitat and populations.

The Electricity Works (Environmental Impact Assessment) (EIA) (Scotland) Regulations (2017) state that the EIA must assess the direct and indirect significant effects of the proposed development on water and biodiversity, and in particular species (such as Atlantic salmon) and habitats protected under the EU Habitats Directive. Salmon and trout are listed as priority species of high conservation interest in the Scottish Biodiversity List and support valuable recreational fisheries.

A good working relationship has been developed over the years between ECU and MD-SEDD, which ensures that these fish species are considered by ECU during all stages of the application process of OHL developments and are similarly considered during the installation and maintenance of future transmission lines. It is important that matters relating to freshwater and diadromous fish and fisheries, particularly salmon and trout, continue to be considered during the installation and maintenance of future OHLs.

In the current document, MD-SEDD sets out a revised, more efficient approach to the provision of our advice, which utilises our generic scoping and monitoring programme guidelines (<https://www2.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Salmon-Trout-Coarse/Freshwater/Research/onshoreren>). This standing advice provides regulators (e.g. ECU, local planning authorities), developers and consultants with the information required at all stages of the application process for OHL projects, such that matters relating to freshwater and diadromous fish and fisheries are addressed in the same rigorous manner as is currently being carried out and continue to be fully in line with EIA regulations. At the request of ECU, MD-SEDD will still be able to provide further and/or bespoke advice relevant to freshwater and diadromous fish and fisheries e.g. site specific advice, at any stage of the application process for a proposed development, particularly where a development may be considered sensitive or contentious in nature.

MD-SEDD will continue undertaking research, identifying additional research requirements, and keep up to date with the latest published knowledge relating to the

impacts of onshore wind farms on freshwater and diadromous fish populations. This will be used to ensure that our guidelines and standing advice are based on the best available evidence and also to continue the publication of the relevant findings and knowledge to all stakeholders including regulators, developers and consultants.

MD-SEDD provision of advice to ECU

- MS-SEDD should not be asked for advice on pre application and application consultations (including screening, scoping, gate checks and EIA applications). Instead, the MD-SEDD scoping guidelines and standing advice (outlined below) should be provided to the developer as they set out what information should be included in the EIA report;
- if new issues arise which are not dealt with in our guidance or in our previous responses relating to respective developments, MD-SEDD can be asked to provide advice in relation to proposed mitigation measures and monitoring programmes which should be outlined in the EIA Report (further details below);
- if new issues arise which are not dealt with in our guidance or in our previous responses, MD-SEDD can be asked to provide advice on suitable wording, within a planning condition, to secure proposed monitoring programmes, should the development be granted consent;
- MD-SEDD cannot provide advice to developers or consultants, our advice is to ECU and/or other regulatory bodies.
- if ECU has identified specific issues during any part of the application process that the standing advice does not address, MD-SEDD should be contacted.

MD-SEDD Standing Advice for each stage of the EIA process

Scoping

MD-SEDD issued generic scoping guidelines

(<https://www2.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Salmon-Trout-Coarse/Freshwater/Research/onshoreren>) which outline how fish populations can be impacted during the construction, operation and decommissioning of a wind farm and transmission line developments and informs developers as to what should be considered, in relation to freshwater and diadromous fish and fisheries, during the EIA process.

In addition to identifying the main watercourses and waterbodies within and downstream of the proposed development area, developers should identify and consider, at this early stage, any areas of Special Areas of Conservation where fish are a qualifying feature and proposed felling operations particularly in acid sensitive areas.

If a developer identifies new issues or has a technical query in respect of MD-SEDD generic scoping guidelines then ECU should be informed who will then co-ordinate a response from MD-SEDD.

Gate check

The detail within the generic scoping guidelines already provides sufficient information relating to water quality and salmon and trout populations for developers at this stage of the application.

Developers will be required to provide a completed gate check checklist (annex 1) in advance of their application submission which should signpost ECU to where all matters relevant to freshwater and diadromous fish and fisheries have been presented in the EIA report. Where matters have not been addressed or a different approach, to that specified in the advice, has been adopted the developer will be required to set out why.

EIA Report

MD-SEDD will focus on those developments which may be more sensitive and/or where there are known existing pressures on fish populations (<https://www2.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Salmon-Trout-Coarse/fishreform/licence/status/Pressures>). The generic scoping guidelines should ensure that the developer has addressed all matters relevant to freshwater and diadromous fish and fisheries and presented them in the appropriate chapters of the EIA report. Use of the gate check checklist should ensure that the EIA report contains the required information; the absence of such information may necessitate requesting additional information which may delay the process:

Developers should specifically discuss and assess potential impacts and appropriate mitigation measures associated with the following:

- any designated area, for which fish is a qualifying feature, within and/or downstream of the proposed development area;
- the presence of a large density of watercourses;
- the presence of large areas of deep peat deposits;
- known acidification problems and/or other existing pressures on fish populations in the area; and
- proposed felling operations.

Post-Consent Monitoring

MD-SEDD recommends that a water quality and fish population monitoring programme is carried out to ensure that the proposed mitigation measures are effective. A robust, strategically designed and site specific monitoring programme conducted before, during and after construction can help to identify any changes, should they occur, and assist in implementing rapid remediation before long term ecological impacts occur.

MD-SEDD has published guidance on survey/monitoring programmes associated with onshore wind farm developments (<https://www2.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Salmon-Trout-Coarse/Freshwater/Research/onshoreren>) which developers should follow when drawing up survey and/or monitoring programmes

If a developer considers that such a monitoring programme is not required then a clear justification should be provided.

Planning Conditions

MD-SEDD advises that planning conditions are drawn up to ensure appropriate provision for mitigation measures and monitoring programmes, should the development be given consent. We recommend, where required, that a Water Quality Monitoring Programme, Fisheries Monitoring Programme and the appointment of an Ecological Clerk of Works, specifically in overseeing the above monitoring programmes, is outlined within these conditions and that MD-SEDD is consulted on these programmes.

Wording suggested by MD-SEDD in relation to water quality, fish populations and fisheries for incorporation into planning consents:

1. No development shall commence unless a Water Quality and Fish Monitoring Plan (WQFMP) has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Planning Authority in consultation with Marine Directorate – Science Evidence Data and Digital (MD-SEDD) and any such other advisors or organisations.
2. The WQFMP must take account of the Scottish Government’s MD-SEDD guidelines and standing advice and shall include:
 - a) water quality sampling should be carried out at least 12 months prior to construction commencing, during construction and for at least 12 months after construction is complete. The water quality monitoring plan should include key hydrochemical parameters, turbidity, and flow data, the identification of sampling locations (including control sites), frequency of sampling, sampling methodology, data analysis and reporting etc.;
 - b) the fish monitoring plan should include fully quantitative electrofishing surveys at sites potentially impacted and at control sites for at least 12 months before construction commences, during construction and for at least 12 months after construction is completed to detect any changes in fish populations; and
 - c) appropriate site specific mitigation measures detailed in the Environmental Impact Assessment and in agreement with the Planning Authority and MD-SEDD
3. Thereafter, the WQFMP shall be implemented within the timescales set out to the satisfaction of the Planning Authority in consultation with MD-SEDD and the results of such monitoring shall be submitted to the Planning Authority on a 6 monthly basis or on request.

Reason: *To ensure no deterioration of water quality and to protect fish populations within and downstream of the development area.*

Sources of further information

NatureScot (previously “SNH”) guidance on wind farm developments - <https://www.nature.scot/professional-advice/planning-and-development/advice-planners-and-developers/renewable-energy-development/onshore-wind-energy/advice-wind-farm>

Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA) guidance on wind farm developments – <https://www.sepa.org.uk/environment/energy/renewable/#wind>

A joint publication by Scottish Renewables, SNH, SEPA, Forestry Commission Scotland, Historic Environment Scotland, MD-SECC (previously Marine Scotland Science) and Association of Environmental and Ecological Clerks of Works (2019) Good Practice during Wind Farm Construction - <https://www.nature.scot/guidance-good-practice-during-wind-farm-construction>.

Annex 1 (revised June 2023)

MD-SEDD – EIA Checklist

The generic scoping guidelines should ensure that all matters relevant to freshwater and diadromous fish and fisheries have been addressed and presented in the appropriate chapters of the EIA report. Use of the checklist below should ensure that the EIA report contains the following information; the absence of such information **may necessitate requesting additional information** which could delay the process:

MD-SEDD Standard EIA Report Requirements	Provided in application YES/NO	If YES – please signpost to relevant chapter of EIA Report	If not provided or provided different to MD-SEDD advice, please set out reasons.
<p>1. A map outlining the proposed development area and the proposed location of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ the towers/poles, ○ permanent and temporary access tracks, including watercourse crossings; ○ buildings including substations; ○ permanent and temporary construction compounds; ○ all watercourses; and contour lines; 			
<p>2. A description and results of the site characterisation surveys for fish (including fully quantitative electrofishing surveys) and water quality including the location of the electrofishing and fish habitat survey sites and water quality sampling sites on the map outlining the proposed turbines and associated infrastructure.</p> <p>This should be carried out where a Special Area of Conservation (SAC) is present and where salmon are a qualifying feature, and in exceptional</p>			

MD-SEDD Standard EIA Report Requirements	Provided in application YES/NO	If YES – please signpost to relevant chapter of EIA Report	If not provided or provided different to MD-SEDD advice, please set out reasons.
<p>cases when required in the scoping advice for other reasons. In other cases, developers can assume that fish populations are present;</p>			
<p>3. An outline of the potential impacts on fish populations and water quality within and downstream of the proposed development area;</p>			
<p>4. Any potential cumulative impacts on the water quality and fish populations associated with adjacent (operational and consented) developments including wind farms, hydro schemes, aquaculture and mining;</p>			
<p>5. Any proposed site specific mitigation measures as outlined in MD-SEDD generic scoping guidelines and the joint publication “Good Practice during Wind Farm Construction” (https://www.nature.scot/guidance-good-practice-during-wind-farm-construction);</p>			
<p>6. Full details of proposed monitoring programmes using guidelines issued by MD-SEDD and accompanied by a map outlining the proposed sampling and control sites in addition to the location of all turbines and associated infrastructure.</p> <p>At least 12 months of baseline pre-construction data should be included. The monitoring programme can be secured using suitable wording in a condition.</p>			

MD-SEDD Standard EIA Report Requirements	Provided in application YES/NO	If YES – please signpost to relevant chapter of EIA Report	If not provided or provided different to MD-SECC advice, please set out reasons.
<p>7. A decommissioning and restoration plan outlining proposed mitigation/monitoring for water quality and fish populations.</p> <p>This can be secured using suitable wording in a condition.</p>			

Developers should specifically discuss and assess potential impacts and appropriate mitigation measures associated with the following:	Provided in application YES/NO	If YES – please signpost to relevant chapter of EIA Report	If not provided or provided different to MD-SEDD advice, please set out reasons.
1. Any designated area (e.g. SAC), for which fish is a qualifying feature, within and/or downstream of the proposed development area;			
2. The presence of a large density of watercourses;			
3. The presence of large areas of deep peat deposits;			
4. Known acidification problems and/or other existing pressures on fish populations in the area; and			
5. Proposed felling operations.			